



10 July 2015

ICTY WEEKLY UPDATE – 811

PROCEDURAL DEVELOPMENTS

I. OVERVIEW OF COURT PROCEEDINGS

MLADIĆ case

Trial Chamber I – Judges Orić (Presiding), Moloto and Flüggé

Reopening of the Prosecution case (Tomašić evidence)

On 7 and 8 July 2015, the following witness testified:

- **Ewa Tabeau** (*demographic expert*)

Continuation of the Defence case

From 8 until 10 July 2015, the following witness testified:

- **Milutin Mišić** (*employee of the Institute for Missing Persons of Bosnia and Herzegovina*)

On 10 July 2015, the following witness testified:

- **Simo Tuševljak** (*BiH then Republika Srpska police official during the relevant period*)

STANIŠIĆ&SIMATOVIĆ case

Appeals Chamber – Judges Pocar (Presiding), Agius, Daqun, Ramaroson and Afande

Appeal hearing

On 6 July 2015, the parties met before the Appeals Chamber for the appeal hearing. The appeal judgement will be pronounced in due course.

II. OVERVIEW OF SELECTED COURT DOCUMENTS

Milan LUKIĆ case

MICT Appeals Chamber – Judges Meron (Presiding), Antonetti, Sekule, Agius and Daqun

DECISION ON MILAN LUKIĆ'S APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

On 7 July 2015, the MICT Appeals Chamber dismissed the motion by Milan Lukić for review of the judgement. Lukić claimed that his convictions should be reviewed in light of new evidence, which came to his attention "after his appeal was concluded", namely, *inter alia*, five witness statements in relation to the incidents at Drina River, Pionirska Street, Bikavac and Varda factory. The Appeals Chamber found that Lukić failed to show any new fact meriting the review of the judgement. Judge Antonetti dissented.

Sreten LUKIĆ case

MICT Appeals Chamber – Judges Meron (Presiding), Sekule, Moloto, Hall and Daqun

DECISION ON SRETEN LUKIĆ'S APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

On 8 July 2015, the MICT Appeals Chamber dismissed the motion for review of the judgement filed by Sreten Lukić, from Šainović et al. case. In his motion, filed confidentially in January this year, Lukić claimed that his convictions and sentence should be reviewed due to "new facts, evidence and jurisprudence", namely his health, the findings from the Đorđević judgement and evidence related to a meeting in Belgrade from 1999. The Chamber found that none of these arguments represent "new facts" required for the purposes of review under Rule 146 of the MICT Rules.

PRLIĆ et al. case

Judge Meron

DECISION ON THE PROSECUTION'S URGENT MOTION TO RECLASSIFY PUBLIC BRIEFS AND MODIFY THE PUBLIC REDACTED BRIEFING SCHEDULE

On 8 July 2015, following the earlier decision when all the appeal briefs in Prlić et al. case were made confidential, Pre-appeal Judge Meron directed all the parties in this case to re-file public redacted versions of their appeal briefs within 21 days. Prior to that, they are to make all necessary redactions in the briefs.

COURTROOM SCHEDULE: 13 JULY – 17 JULY 2015*

	Courtroom 1	Courtroom 3
Monday	09:30 – 14:15 Mladić , Trial	
Tuesday	09:30 – 14:15 Mladić , Trial	

Wednesday	09:30 – 14:15 Mladić, Trial	
Thursday	09:30 – 14:15 Mladić, Trial	
Friday		

* The courtroom schedule is subject to change and you are invited to check for last minute alterations on www.icty.org or with the Media Office directly (+3170 512 -8752, -5343, or -5356)

Public proceedings are also broadcast with a 30 minute delay on the ICTY's web site:
www.icty.org

For the latest list of all court filings, please visit the [ICTY Court Records](#)
For a selection of the latest public documents, please visit the [ICTY Website](#)