



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
former Yugoslavia Since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-I  
Date: 29 August 2008  
Original: English

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER III**

**Before:** Judge Patrick Robinson, Presiding  
Judge Iain Bonomy, Pre-Trial Judge  
Judge Michèle Picard

**Registrar:** Mr. Hans Holthuis

**Decision of:** 29 August 2008

**THE PROSECUTOR**

v.

**RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ**

***PUBLIC***

---

**DECISION ON THE ACCUSED'S REQUEST FOR COPIES OF ORDERS TO FREEZE  
ASSETS**

---

**Office of the Prosecutor:**

Mr. Mark B. Harmon  
Mr. Alan Tieger

**The Accused:**

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** (“Trial Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);


**BEING SEISED OF** the Accused’s request filed 6 August 2008 (the “Request”),<sup>1</sup> which seeks copies of orders to freeze assets issued in the Accused’s case pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”);<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** the Prosecution’s Response to the Request, filed on 13 August 2008 (“Prosecution Response”),<sup>3</sup> in which the Prosecution states that it “has no knowledge of any orders to freeze assets of the Accused issued pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules”;<sup>4</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that, indeed, no orders to freeze assets were filed by any organ in the Tribunal in the Accused’s case pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules;

**DECLARES** the Accused’s Request **MOOT**.

Done in both English and French, the English text being authoritative.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Patrick Robinson  
Presiding

Done this twenty-ninth day of August 2008  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

[ Seal of the Tribunal ]

<sup>1</sup> Original in B/C/S with an English translation entitled “Request for Copies of Court Orders to Freeze Assets”, filed 6 August 2008. While the Request does not conform to the format ordinarily accepted for such filings, the Trial Chamber will exceptionally accept the Accused’s filing given his unfamiliarity with the provisions of the Tribunal. Going forward however, the Accused must both familiarise and conform himself to those provisions, or designate counsel who will do so.

<sup>2</sup> Request, p. 1.

<sup>3</sup> Prosecution’s Response to Accused’s Request for Copies of Orders Freezing Assets Pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules, filed 13 August 2008.

<sup>4</sup> Prosecution Response, para. 1.