



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations
of International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T

Date: 1 September 2014

Original: English

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before: Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge
Judge Howard Morrison
Judge Melville Baird
Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

Decision of: 1 September 2014

PROSECUTOR

v.

RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

CONFIDENTIAL AND EX PARTE

**DECISION ON REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO REPLY: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF
REGISTRAR'S IMPLEMENTATION DECISION ON INDIGENCE**

The Accused

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

BEING SEISED OF the Accused’s “Request for Leave to Reply: Request for Review of Registrar’s Implementation Decision on Indigence”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 27 August 2014 (“Request”);

NOTING the Accused’s “Request for Review of Registrar’s Decision and Urgent Motion for Stay”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 8 August 2014 (“Request for Review”), and the Accused’s “Brief in Support of Request for Review of Registrar’s Implementation Decision on Indigence”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 18 August 2014 (“Brief”), wherein the Accused requests that the Trial Chamber review and quash the Registrar’s Decision of 4 August 2014 regarding the Accused’s contribution to the costs of his defence;¹

NOTING the “Registrar’s Submission Regarding Radovan Karadžić’s Request for Review of Registrar’s Decision and Urgent Motion for Stay”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 25 August 2014 (“Response to Request for Review”), wherein the Registrar opposes the Request for Review and the Brief;

NOTING the “Registrar’s Submission Opposing Karadžić’s Request to Reply”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 29 August 2014 (“Response”), wherein the Registrar argues that the Request should be denied;²

NOTING that, in the Request, the Accused states that in the Response to Request for Review, “the Registrar sets out its [*sic*] position on the Trial Chamber’s jurisdiction for the first time” and that the Trial Chamber would benefit from hearing his position on this issue;³

NOTING that the Accused further claims that the Registrar also “asserts for the first time that the Registrar lacked the discretion to spread the contribution of the accused over a period of time” and that the Chamber would benefit from hearing the Accused’s position on this issue as well;⁴

¹ Request for Review, paras. 1, 6, 21; Brief, paras. 1, 6, 38.

² Response, paras. 2–4.

³ Request, para. 2.

⁴ Request, para. 3.

NOTING that in the Response, the Registrar argues that the Request should be denied because the Accused has had the opportunity to address both of the issues the Accused refers to in the Request;⁵

CONSIDERING that the issues the Accused and the Registrar raise are not new and that the Accused was invited to and had ample opportunity to discuss them in his Request for Review and supporting Brief, the Chamber will not be aided by hearing further from the Accused;

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"),

HEREBY DENIES the Request.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.



Judge O-Gon Kwon
Presiding Judge

Dated this first day of September 2014
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

⁵ Response, paras. 2–4.