



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons Responsible for
Serious Violations of International
Humanitarian Law Committed in the
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T
Date: 23 January 2008
Original: ENGLISH
French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: Mr Hans Holthuis

Decision of: 23 January 2008

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIĆ
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ĆORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ

PUBLIC

**CORRIGENDUM TO DECISION TO ADMIT PRESIDENTIAL
TRANSCRIPT EVIDENCE**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A. A. Khan for Bruno Stojić
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

NOTING the “Decision to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence”, rendered by the Chamber on 17 January 2008 (“Decision”),

CONSIDERING that since the first paragraph of page 3 of the Decision reads as follows:

NOTING the "Prosecution Reply to Defence Responses to Prosecution Motion to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence", filed by the Prosecution on 3 December 2007 ("Request for Leave to Reply"), in which it asks the Chamber for leave to reply to the Petković Response and the Joint Response,

it should read:

NOTING the “Prosecution **Request for Leave to Reply** to Defence Responses to Prosecution Motion to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence”, filed by the Prosecution on 3 December 2007 ("Request for Leave to Reply"), in which it asks the Chamber for leave to reply to the Petković Response and the Joint Response,

CONSIDERING that since the third paragraph of page 3 of the Decision reads as follows:

NOTING the “Corrigendum to Prosecution Motion to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence Dated 26 October 2007”, filed by the Prosecution on 9 January 2007 in which it provides corrections referring to pages of the Proposed Exhibits,

it should read:

NOTING the “Corrigendum to Prosecution Motion to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence Dated 26 October 2007”, filed by the Prosecution on **9**

January 2008 in which it provides corrections referring to pages of the Proposed Exhibits,

CONSIDERING that since the last paragraph of page 7 of the Decision reads as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Chamber decides not to admit pages 25 to 23 of Exhibit P 07031 on the ground that they do not conform to Guideline 6, as set out in the Annex attached to this decision,

it should read:

CONSIDERING that the Chamber decides not to admit pages 25 to 33 of Exhibit P 07031 on the ground that they do not conform to Guideline 6, as set out in the Annex attached to this decision.

FOR THESE REASONS,

IN ACCORDANCE with Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

ORDERS

- (1) that the first paragraph of page 3 of the Decision be amended to reflect the following text:

NOTING the "Prosecution Request for Leave to Reply to Defence Responses to Prosecution Motion to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence", filed by the Prosecution on 3 December 2007 ("Request for Leave to Reply"), in which it asks the Chamber for leave to reply to the Petković Response and the Joint Response,

- (2) that the third paragraph of page 3 of the Decision be amended to reflect the following text:

NOTING the "Corrigendum to Prosecution Motion to Admit Presidential Transcript Evidence Dated 26 October 2007", filed by the Prosecution on 9

January 2008 in which it provides corrections referring to pages of the Proposed Exhibits,

- (3) that the last paragraph of page 7 of the Decision be amended to reflect the following text:

CONSIDERING that the Chamber decides not to admit pages 25 to 33 of Exhibit P 07031 on the ground that they do not conform to Guideline 6, as set out in the Annex attached to this decision.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this twenty-third day of January 2008
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]