



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons Responsible for  
Serious Violations of International  
Humanitarian Law Committed in the  
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia  
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T  
Date: 27 February 2007  
Original: ENGLISH  
French

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER III**

**Before:** Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti  
Judge Árpád Prandler  
Judge Stefan Trechsel  
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

**Registrar:** Mr Hans Holthuis

**Order of:** 27 February 2007

**THE PROSECUTOR**

v.

**Jadranko PRLIĆ**  
**Bruno STOJIĆ**  
**Slobodan PRALJAK**  
**Milivoj PETKOVIĆ**  
**Valentin ĆORIĆ**  
**Berislav PUŠIĆ**

---

**ORDER TO ADMIT EVIDENCE RELATIVE TO WITNESS DC**

---

**The Office of the Prosecutor:**

Mr Kenneth Scott  
Mr Daryl Mundis

**Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić  
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Peter Murphy for Bruno Stojić  
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak  
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković  
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić  
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

**CONSIDERING** that the Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”) moved for the admission of nine documents<sup>1</sup> and that the counsel for the Accused Praljak (“Praljak Defence”) moved for the admission of ten documents<sup>2</sup> relating to the testimony of Witness DC (“Proposed Exhibits”), who gave evidence at the hearing of 6 February 2007,

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber has examined each of the Proposed Exhibits based on the criteria for admissibility defined in its Decision on Admission of Evidence of 13 July 2006,

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber hereby decides to admit into evidence the documents labelled “admitted” in the Annex attached to this decision because they were submitted to Witness DC and have satisfactory indicia of relevance, probative value and reliability,

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,**

**PURSUANT TO** Rules 54 and 89 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

**GRANTS** the motion of the Prosecution and the motion of the Praljak Defence,

**DECIDES** that there are grounds to admit into evidence the documents labelled “admitted” in the Annex attached to this decision.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

*/signed/*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti  
Presiding Judge

Done this twenty-seventh day of February 2007  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

[seal of the Tribunal]

---

<sup>1</sup> IC 00372.

<sup>2</sup> IC 00373.

Annex

<b>Proposed exhibit no.</b>	<b>Party proposing the admission of evidence</b>	<b>Admitted/ Not admitted/ Marked for identification (MFI)</b>
P 09863	Prosecution	Admitted under seal
P 09128	Prosecution	Admitted
P 09133	Prosecution	Admitted
P 09139	Prosecution	Already admitted through Witness Ratko Pejanović
P 09140	Prosecution	Already admitted through Witness Ratko Pejanović
IC 00355	Prosecution	Admitted
IC 00356	Prosecution	Admitted
IC 00357	Prosecution	Admitted
IC 00358	Prosecution	Admitted
IC 00359	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00360	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00361	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00362	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00363	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00364	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00365	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00366	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00367	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 00368	Praljak Defence	Admitted