



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons Responsible
for Serious Violations of International
Humanitarian Law Committed in the
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T
Date: 21 April 2010
Original: ENGLISH
French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, presiding
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: Mr John Hocking

Order of: 21 April 2010

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

**Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIC
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ĆORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ**

PUBLIC

**SCHEDULING ORDER FOR FILING REQUESTS TO REPLY PURSUANT
TO RULE 85**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A.A. Khan for Bruno Stojic
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

PROPRIO MOTU,

CONSIDERING that Counsel for the Accused Valentin Ćorić concluded their presentation of defence evidence on 1 April 2010,¹

CONSIDERING that, in the Notice of 7 April 2010,² Counsel for the Accused Berislav Pušić (“Pušić Defence”) disclosed their intention of not calling either *viva voce* witnesses or requesting the admission of written witness statements pursuant to Rules 92 *bis*, 92 *ter* and 92 *quarter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”),³

CONSIDERING that in an Order of 20 April 2010,⁴ the Chamber ordered the Pušić Defence to file any possible request pursuant to Guideline No 9 of the “Decision Adopting Guidelines for the Presentation of Defence Evidence”, rendered publicly by the Chamber on 24 April 2008, no later than 15 May 2010,

CONSIDERING, moreover, that the Chamber has authorised Counsel for the Accused Bruno Stojić to present their final witness in May 2010, thereby concluding their presentation of defence evidence,

CONSIDERING, consequently, that the phase for presentation of evidence by the parties to this case is drawing to a close,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber would like, for purposes of ensuring the proper administration of the trial, to be informed promptly by the parties of their intentions to file requests to reply pursuant to Rule 85 (A) of the Rules,

¹ Hearing of 1 April 2010, Transcript of Hearing in French, p. 51752.

² “Berislav Pušić’s Notice Regarding Presentation of Evidence in the Defence Case”, public, 7 April 2010 (“Notice of 7 April 2010”).

³ Notice of 7 April 2010, para. 2.

⁴ “Scheduling Order for Pušić Defence to File a Request Pursuant to Guideline 9”, public, 20 April 2010 (“Order of 20 April 2010”).

CONSIDERING, should the parties intend to file such requests, that the Chamber finds it necessary, for purposes of ensuring a fair and expeditious trial, to impose a timetable to govern their filing,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber considers that it is reasonable for the parties to file any requests to reply pursuant to Rule 85 (A) of the Rules, no later than 25 May 2010,

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Articles 20 and 21 of the Statute of the Tribunal and Rules 54 and 85 of the Rules,

INVITES the parties to inform the Chamber promptly as to whether they intend to file a request to reply, **AND**,

ORDERS the parties to file any requests **no later than 25 May 2010**.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this twenty-first day of April 2010
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]