



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations  
of International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T

Date: 16 September 2015

Original: English

---

**IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge  
Judge Howard Morrison  
Judge Melville Baird  
Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Order of:** 16 September 2015

**PROSECUTOR**

v.

**RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ**

*PUBLIC*

---

**INTERIM ORDER  
ON ACCUSED'S REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE**

---

**Office of the Prosecutor**

Mr. Alan Tieger  
Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

**The Accused**

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

**THIS TRIAL CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);

**BEING SEISED** of the “Request for Status Conference” filed by the Accused on 1 September 2015 (“Request”), wherein he asks the Chamber to convene a status conference in order to discuss matters such as his health, conditions of detention, and continuing disclosure violations by the Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”);<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** the “Prosecution Response to Request for Status Conference” filed on 15 September 2015 (“Response”), wherein the Prosecution takes no position insofar as the Request pertains to the Accused’s health but opposes it in relation to conditions of detention or disclosure on the basis that the Accused has failed to identify any issue that requires resolution through a status conference with respect to either subject;<sup>2</sup>

**CONSIDERING** its position that the issue of disclosure has been, and continues to be, highly litigated in this case and that in terms of disclosure, there is therefore no specific issue not addressed in writing which warrants holding a status conference;<sup>3</sup>

**RECALLING** further that in terms of the Accused’s general allegations as to the conditions at the United Nations Detention Unit (“UNDU”), the Chamber stated in the past that it would not entertain concerns of a general nature which do not relate to the Accused personally;<sup>4</sup>

**NOTING** that in relation to his own health concerns and conditions of detention, the Accused does not identify the specific issues he wishes to raise with the Chamber and what remedy he is seeking;

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber gives the highest regard to the Accused’s health and conditions of detention;

---

<sup>1</sup> Request, paras. 1, 7–16.

<sup>2</sup> Response, paras. 1–2, 9. The Prosecution makes a number of observations on the Accused’s health issues and submits that he fails to ask for any specific remedy. Response, paras. 3–4.

<sup>3</sup> Decision on Accused’s Request for Status Conference, 8 January 2015, para. 6.

<sup>4</sup> See Decision on Accused’s Request for Status Conference, 12 May 2015, para. 6.

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS****HEREBY**

**STAYS** its ruling on the Request; and

**ORDERS** the Accused to file a supplementary written submission no later than 21 September 2015 setting out clearly the specific issues he wishes to raise orally in relation to his own health and conditions of detention at the UNDU, and what remedy he is seeking from the Chamber on those issues.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.



---

Judge O-Gon Kwon  
Presiding

Dated this sixteenth day of September 2015  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**