



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-00-39-A
Date: 11 July 2008
Original: English

BEFORE THE PRE-APPEAL JUDGE

Before: Judge Theodor Meron, Pre-Appeal Judge

Registrar: Mr. Hans Holthuis

Order of: 11 July 2008

PROSECUTOR

v.

MOMČILO KRAJIŠNIK

PUBLIC

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Peter Kremer, QC

The Accused:

Momčilo Krajišnik

Amicus Curiae:

Mr. Colin Nicholls, QC

I, **THEODOR MERON**, Judge of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"), and Pre-Appeal Judge in this case,

CONSIDERING that Momčilo Krajišnik is currently in detention at the United Nations Detention Unit in The Hague pending the decision of the appeals in this case;

CONSIDERING that the last status conference took place on 31 March 2008;


CONSIDERING that Rule 65bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal requires the convening of a status conference within 120 days of the last status conference to allow any person in custody pending appeal the opportunity to raise issues in relation thereto, including the physical and mental condition of that person;

ORDER that a status conference shall be held before me on Monday, 21 July 2008 at 14:15 in Courtroom 3; and

FURTHER ORDER that should *Amicus Curiae* seek to participate by tele-conference rather than in person,¹ *Amicus Curiae* shall file a statement to that effect at least five days prior to the status conference.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Issued this 11th day of July 2008,
At The Hague,
The Netherlands.



Judge Theodor Meron
Pre-Appeal Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]

¹ See Decision on Momčilo Krajišnik's Request to Self-Represent, on Counsel's Motions in Relation to Appointment of *Amicus Curiae*, and on the Prosecution Motion of 16 February 2007, para. 21.