UNITED NATIONS

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T

Date: 15 February 2010

Original: ENGLISH
French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: **Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, presiding**
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: **Mr John Hocking**

Order of: **15 February 2010**

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIĆ
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ĆORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ

PUBLIC

ORDER TO ADMIT EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE TESTIMONY OF SLOBODAN PRALJAK

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A. A. Khan for Bruno Stojić
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III ("Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"),

NOTING the request for admission of 250 exhibits submitted by Counsel for the Accused Praljak ("Praljak Defence"),[1] the request for admission of 11 exhibits submitted by Counsel for the Accused Prlić ("Prlić Defence"),[2] the request for admission of 68 exhibits submitted by Counsel for the Accused Stojić ("Stojić Defence"),[3] the request for admission of 35 exhibits submitted by Counsel for the Accused Petković ("Petković Defence"),[4] the request for admission of 31 exhibits submitted by Counsel for the Accused Ćorić ("Ćorić Defence")[5] and the request for admission of 39 exhibits submitted by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution")[6] ("Proposed Exhibit(s)"), all relevant to the testimony of Slobodan Praljak who appeared from 5 May to 10 September 2009,

NOTING the objections formulated by the Prosecution[7] and the Stojić Defence[8] to certain Proposed Exhibits submitted by the Praljak Defence and the Reply by the Praljak Defence to the objections formulated by the Prosecution;[9] the objections formulated by the Prosecution to certain Proposed Exhibits submitted by the Petković Defence[10] and the Reply of the Petković Defence to the objections formulated by the Prosecution;[11] the objections formulated by the Praljak Defence to certain Proposed Exhibits submitted by the Prosecution,[12]

NOTING the public "Decision on Presentation of Documents by the Prosecution in Cross-Examination of Defence Witnesses" rendered by the Chamber on 27 November 2008 ("Decision of 27 November 2008"),

---

[1] IC 01036.
[2] IC 01037.
[3] IC 01038.
[4] IC 01039.
[5] IC 01040.
[6] IC 01041.
[7] IC 011046.
[8] IC 01064.
[9] IC 01070.
[10] IC 01047.
[11] IC 01048.
[12] IC 01062.

NOTING the public "Decision on the Interlocutory Appeal Against the Trial Chamber's Decision on Presentation of Documents by the Prosecution in Cross-Examination of Defence Witnesses" rendered by the Appeals Chamber on 26 February 2009 wherein it upholds the Decision of 27 November 2008,

CONSIDERING, as a preliminary matter, that the Chamber has found a certain number of technical faults in the requests for admission of exhibits submitted by the Praljak Defence and the Prosecution, namely, that there is mention of footnotes in the body of the IC list of the Praljak Defence which do not actually appear; the omission to specify the *e*court page numbers for certain Proposed Exhibits; that, in respect to this, the Chamber reminds the Prosecution and the Praljak Defence that, in keeping with the established practice of the Chamber, they are to specify in their IC lists the page number of the attached translation of the exhibit appearing in the *e*court system on the right-hand side of the screen and/or of the paragraphs, if appropriate, of exhibits requested for admission, [13]

CONSIDERING that the Chamber also finds that the information provided by the Prosecution in its IC 1041 list relating to Proposed Exhibits P 09533, P 09769, P 09817, P 10924, P 10936, P 10968 and P 10980 is erroneous as it does not correspond to the documents the exhibits refer to;[14] that exceptionally, nevertheless, the Chamber decides to disregard these errors in its review of the Prosecution requests for admission of the above-mentioned Proposed Exhibits since such information has no real impact on the consideration of their admissibility,

CONSIDERING, however, that the Chamber decides that these errors will be taken into consideration in its review of the Prosecution's requests for admission of Proposed Exhibits P 10936 and P 10980 which, in spite of the ambiguity of the

[13] *See* also: "Decision Admitting Presidential Transcripts", 4 March 2009, public, p. 4; "Ordonnance portant admission d'éléments de preuve relatifs au témoin Tomislav Krešić", 6 May 2009, public, pp. 2 and 3; "Decision on Prlić Defence Motion for Reconsideration of Order to Admit Evidence Regarding Josip Jurčević", 3 November 2009, public, pp. 3 and 4.

[14] The Chamber notes that the information on the source and reasons for the late submission of Proposed Exhibit P 09533 have to do with Proposed Exhibit P 09488, that on P 09769 has to do with P 09533, that on P 09817 have to do with P 09769, that on P 10924 have to do with P 09817, that on P 10936 have to do with P 10924, that on P 10968 have to do with P 10958 and that on P 10980 have to do with P 10968.

wording used by the Prosecution in its IC list,[15] may be considered "mixed documents" in terms of the Decision of 27 November 2008,[16]

CONSIDERING that the Chamber requests that the Prosecution and the other parties be vigilant when preparing their respective IC lists so as not to have their future requests for admission dismissed,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes that the BCS version of Proposed Exhibit 4D 00019, uploaded onto *e*court and requested for admission by the Petković Defence, contains illegible passages; that it is therefore necessary that the Petković Defence upload onto *e*court a correct BCS version of Proposed Exhibit 4D 00019,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber recalls that the parties must disclose translations into one of the two official languages of the Tribunal of exhibits presented through a witness[17] and that, consequently, it is the Chamber's practice to reject requests for admission of exhibits whose English translation uploaded onto *e*court is incomplete; that, in this case, this applies to exhibit 4D 01299 whose English version is incomplete,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes, having been notified by the Prosecution, that the English translation of the title of page 188[18] of Proposed Exhibit 3D 00320, requested for admission by the Prosecution, is incomplete since the title of the chapter from which the document has been taken is there in the BCS document but not in the English translation of the said Proposed Exhibit; that the Chamber further notes, having been advised by the Praljak Defence, that the English translation of the term "*privođenj*" in line 2 of page 1 of the original of Proposed Exhibit P 03142, of the term "*top*" in line 3 of the original of Proposed Exhibit P 04495, and the English translation of page 2 of Proposed Exhibit P 09470, also requested for admission by the Prosecution, are incorrect;

CONSIDERING that, contrary to Proposed Exhibit 4D 01299, the Chamber considers that these are very minor translation problems which, in any case, do not

---

[15] IC 01041.
[16] Decision of 27 November 2008, para. 22.
[17] "Decision Adopting Guidelines for the Presentation of Defence Evidence", public, 24 April 2008, para. 29; "Decision on Admission of Evidence", public, 13 July 2006 ("Decision of 13 July 2006"), para. 2.

affect the comprehensibility of the document and which may be resolved by uploading a corrected English version of the said Proposed Exhibits; that, consequently, the Praljak Defence has to upload onto *e*court the full English translation of page 188 of Proposed Exhibit 3D 00320, that the Prosecution has to upload onto *e*court a correct English translation of the missing passages and of the identified contested terms in the three Proposed Exhibits P 03142, P 04495 and P 09470 since the Chamber finds that the Proposed Exhibits fulfil the admissibility criteria,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber points out that: Proposed Exhibits P 06203, 1D 01236, 3D 00749, 3D 00931 requested for admission by the Praljak Defence;[19] Proposed Exhibit 1D 02843 and page 3 of the English version of Proposed Exhibit P 01240, requested for admission by the Prlić Defence;[20] Proposed Exhibits 2D 01295, 4D 01328 and 4D 01655, requested for admission by the Petković Defence,[21] and Proposed Exhibits P 01040 and P 04470, requested for admission by the Prosecution,[22] have already been admitted by the Chamber[23] and that the requests by the Praljak Defence, the Prlić Defence, the Petković Defence and the Prosecution are, therefore, moot with regard to the above-listed Proposed Exhibits,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber has reviewed every Proposed Exhibit on the basis of admissibility criteria defined in the Decision of 13 July 2006 and in the "Decision

---

[18] *E*court system page number.
[19] IC 01036.
[20] IC 01037.
[21] IC 01039.
[22] IC 01041. The Chamber points out that in its IC 01041 list the Prosecution came to a similar conclusion but had nevertheless, probably by mistake, requested the admission of the said Proposed Exhibit.
[23] For Exhibit P 06203 *see* "Order Admitting Evidence Related to Witness Davor Marijan", public, 25 February 2009; for Exhibit 1D 01236 *see* "Order on Admission of Evidence Relating to Witness Milan Gorjanc", public, 14 December 2009; for Exhibit 3D 00749 *see* "Order to Admit Evidence Relative to Witness Miro Salcin", public, 2 July 2007; for Exhibit 3D 00931 *see* "Order to Admit Evidence Regarding Witness Philip Watkins", public, 30 August 2007; For Exhibit 1D 02843 *see* "Decision on Prlić Defence Motion for Reconsideration of the Decision on Admission of Documentary Evidence", public, 29 June 2009; for Exhibit P 01240 *see* "Decision on Prlić Defence Motion for Reconsideration of Decision Admitting Presidential Transcripts", public, 13 May 2009; for Exhibit 2D 01295 *see* "Decision on the Stojić Defence Motion for the Admission of Documentary Evidence (Cooperation between the Authorities and the Armed Forces of Herceg-Bosna and the Authorities and the Armed Forces of the ABiH)", public, 21 July 2009; for Exhibit 4D 01328 *see* "Ordonnance portant admission d'éléments de preuve relatifs au témoin Josip Jučević", public, 6 October 2009; and for Exhibit 4D 01655 *see* "Order Admitting Evidence Regarding Witness Zvonimir Skender", public, 13 October 2009; for Exhibit P 01040 *see* "Order to Admit Evidence Relative to Witness Enes Delalić", public, 4 July 2007; for Exhibit P 04470 *see* "Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence (Two Motions : HVO and Herceg-Bosna)" public, 11 December 2007.

Adopting Guidelines for the Presentation of Defence Evidence" rendered publicly by the Chamber on 24 April 2008 ("Decision of 24 April 2008"),[24]

CONSIDERING more specifically with regard to the request for the admission of Proposed Exhibits by the Praljak Defence, that the Chamber regrets the Praljak Defence's lack of selectiveness in its request for admission; that it finds that the Praljak Defence has requested the admission of several Proposed Exhibits which were not presented during the hearing when Slobodan Praljak gave evidence or that some were only presented during the Accused Praljak's opening statement under Rule 84 *bis* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules") and, therefore, cannot be admitted; that many of the Proposed Exhibits requested for admission by the Praljak Defence are not listed in the list filed under Rule 65 *ter* (G) of the Rules ("Rule 65 *ter* List") by the Praljak Defence; that, in some instances, Slobodan Praljak did not even explain the relevance, reliability and probative value of a number of the Proposed Exhibits which, consequently, cannot be admitted, as indicated in the Annex to this Order ("Annex"),

CONSIDERING also that the Chamber notes that a number of Proposed Exhibits requested for admission by the Praljak Defence and the Stojić Defence which Slobodan Praljak commented on when he gave evidence have no relevance in terms of the Amended Indictment of 11 June 2008 ("Indictment"),

CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes that a number of the Proposed Exhibits requested for admission by the Praljak Defence and the Stojić Defence refer to events not alleged in the Indictment, such as the Praljak Defence's Proposed Exhibits on the conflict between Croatia and Serbia in 1992, or on other various subjects which have no link to the case; the Proposed Exhibits requested for admission by the Praljak Defence and the Stojić Defence relating to the cooperation between the Republic of Croatia and/or the HV, HVO with the BH Army which relate, for example, to the delivery of military materiel, or "MTS" in geographic zones not covered by the Indictment or in unspecified geographic zones,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber reiterates its position that it cannot admit into evidence documents that are too vague with regard to the Indictment or have no

[24] Guideline 8 on the admission of documentary evidence through a witness.

obvious link to it and do not contain sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value;[25] that the Chamber notes that the testimony of Slobodan Praljak did not shed sufficient light on the Proposed Exhibits to show their link with the allegations contained in the Indictment; that, in consequence, the Chamber decides not to admit them, as stated in the Annex,

CONSIDERING, finally, that the Chamber finds that the same reasoning and the same conclusion are applicable to the Proposed Exhibits on the ethnic origin of soldiers in HVO brigades of municipalities not in the Indictment and requested for admission by the Stojić Defence,

CONSIDERING, furthermore, that the Chamber finds that the Prosecution argues that it presented the following Proposed Exhibits: pages 4, 5 and 11 in P 10943, paragraph 1 of page 5 in P 10958; pages 2 to 5 in P 10960; P 10963; P 10968 and P 11033 with the aim of casting doubt on the credibility of the testimony of Slobodan Praljak and that, thus, this is the reason it is requesting their admission; that the Chamber will therefore review the admissibility of these Proposed Exhibits only in as far as they go to cast doubt on the credibility of Slobodan Praljak,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber also observes that the Prosecution presented Proposed Exhibits P 10936 and P 10980 and left some doubt as to its intentions, thus not allowing the Chamber to fathom the aim of this request for admission; that the Chamber finds that these documents contain evidence against the Accused Praljak; consequently, that the Chamber considers that they must be reviewed as "mixed documents" in terms of the Decision of 27 November 2008;[26] that the same applies to Proposed Exhibits P 03831, P 03887 and P 09043 which the Chamber will, therefore, review as "mixed documents",

CONSIDERING that regarding the issue of "mixed documents" the Chamber recalls in its Decision of 26 February 2009 that the Appeals Chamber stated that the Trial

[25] *See*, for example, "Ordonnance portant sur l'admission d'éléments de preuve relatifs au témoin Andjelko Makar" filed publicly on 29 April 2009 ; "Ordonnance concernant la demande d'admission d'éléments de preuve relatifs au témoin Dragutin Čehulić" filed publicly on 11 May 2009 ; and "Decision on the Stojić Defence Motion for the Admission of Documentary Evidence (Cooperation between the Authorities and the Armed Forces of Herceg-Bosna and the Authorities and the Armed Forces of the ABiH)" filed publicly on 21 July 2009, para. 27.
[26] IC 01041.

Chamber had the discretionary power to decide to what aim the "mixed documents" it admitted into evidence would be used,[27]

CONSIDERING that the Chamber observes that, in this case, the Prosecution when and how it obtained these documents, when it disclosed them to the Defence and why it presented them only once it had closed the Prosecution case,[28]

CONSIDERING that the Chamber holds that it also heard the Defence on this point, and decides on the basis of all the arguments presented by the parties to admit into evidence Proposed Exhibits P 03831, P 03887, P 09043, only in as far as they go to casting doubt on the credibility of the testimony of Slobodan Praljak, whereas Proposed Exhibits P 10936 and P 10980 are not admissible,

CONSIDERING that, regarding the other Proposed Exhibits, the Chamber decides to admit into evidence the Proposed Exhibits indicated "Admitted" in the Annex since they were presented at the hearing of Slobodan Praljak and bear sufficient indicia of relevance, probative value and reliability,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber decides not to admit into evidence the Proposed Exhibits indicated "Not Admitted" in the Annex attached to this Decision since they are not consistent with the instructions of the Decisions of 13 July 2006 and 24 April 2008, for reasons elaborated in the Annex attached to this Order,

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rules 54 and 89 of the Rules,

DECLARES MOOT the request of the Praljak Defence with regard to Proposed Exhibits P 06203, 1D 01236, 3D 00749, 3D 00931; the request of the Prlić Defence with regard to Proposed Exhibit 1D 02843 and page 3 of the English version of Proposed Exhibit P 01240; the request of the Petković Defence with regard to Proposed Exhibits 2D 01295, 4D 01328 and 4D 01655, and the request of the

[27] Decision of 26 February 2009, para. 29.
[28] IC 01041 and IC 01062.

Prosecution with regards to Proposed Exhibits P 01040 and P 04470, for the reasons stated in the Annex attached to this Order,

PARTIALLY GRANTS the requests for admission of the Praljak Defence, the Prlić Defence, the Stojić Defence, the Petković Defence, the Ćorić Defence and the Prosecution,

DECIDES that it is appropriate to admit into evidence Proposed Exhibits P 03831; P 03887; P 09043; pages 4, 5 and 11 of P 10943; paragraph 1 of page 5 of P 10958; pages 2 and 5 of P 10960; P 10963; P 10968; P 10980 and P 11033 only in as far as they go to casting doubt on the credibility of the testimony of Slobodan Praljak,

DECIDES that it is appropriate to admit into evidence the Proposed Exhibits by the Praljak Defence, the Prlić Defence, the Stojić Defence, the Petković Defence, the Ćorić Defence and the Prosecution, indicated as "Admitted" in the Annex attached to this Order,

DENIES BY MAJORITY in all other respects the requests for admission of the Proposed Exhibits of the Praljak Defence, the Prlić Defence, the Stojić Defence, the Petković Defence, the Ćorić Defence and the Prosecution for the reasons stated in the Annex attached to this Order, **AND**,

ORDERS the Petković Defence to upload onto *e*court a correct BCS version of Proposed Exhibit 4D 01299 as well as a correct English translation of the said Proposed Exhibit and a correct BCS version of Proposed Exhibit 4D 00019; the Praljak Defence to upload a correct English translation of page 188 of Proposed Exhibit 3D 00320; and the Prosecution to upload a correct English translation of the passages from Proposed Exhibits P 03142, P 04495 and P 09470 referred to in this Order for the reasons stated herein,

The President of the Chamber will attach his dissenting opinion to this Order.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this fifteenth day of February 2010
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Annex

Exhibit Number (preferably in numerical order)	Party Proposing Admission of the Exhibit	Admitted/Not Admitted/Moot
P 00147	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
P 00459	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
P 00717	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 01216	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 01739	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reasons: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence. Furthermore, the Praljak Defence did not specify the *e*court page numbers of the English version on the right-hand side of the screen that correspond to the page numbers mentioned and commented on by Slobodan Praljak at the hearing nor the corresponding *e*court page numbers of the original BCS version of the document, thus making it practically impossible to read the pages requested for admission.
P 03719	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 03821	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
P 04645	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 06203	Praljak Defence	Moot (Already admitted by the Order Admitting Evidence Related to Witness Davor Marijan of 25 February 2009)
1D 00431 (In whole or, alternatively pages[29] 3, 23, 24, 27 and 29 of the English version)	Prlić Defence/Praljak Defence with regard to pages 3, 23 and 29.	Admitted in part (pages 3, 23, 24 and 29 of the English version) Not admitted with regard to page 27 of the English version (Reason: the extract of the document requested for admission was not put to Slobodan Praljak when he gave evidence.)
1D 00431 (pages 2 and 60 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted
1D 01236	Praljak Defence	Moot (Already admitted by the Order on Admission of Evidence Relating to Witness Milan Gorjanc of 14 December 2009)

[29] Page numbers in the *e*court electornic system.

1D 03137 (pages 7, 8, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 36, 77, 100 and 101 of the English version in ecourt) + page 1D53-1825	Praljak Defence/Prlić Defence only with regard to page 36.	Moot with regard to page 1D53-1825 which is not in the *e*court system. Not admitted with regard to pages 7, 8, 18 19, 20, 22, 24, 36, 77, 100 and 101 of the English version in *e*court (Reason: with regard to page 36, the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence and the Prlić Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
P 03734	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence).
P 03803	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence).
P 03821	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence.)
P 03984	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 04074	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 04285	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 05350	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 05560	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 05580	Praljak Defence	Admitted
P 05581	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
3D 00004	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00304	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00320 (pages 13, 183 to 187 and 288 of the English version in *e*court (3D28-0139)	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: pages 183 to 187 and 288 of the English version in *e*court (3D28-0139). Not admitted: page 13 (Reason: the page was not put to Slobodan Praljak when he gave evidence.)
3D 00374 (pages 42 and 43, 49 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01020	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00443	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00542	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)

3D 00639	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00641	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00642	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00682	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00683	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00684	Praljak Defence	Admitted.
3D 00685	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00749	Praljak Defence	Moot (Document already admitted by the Order to Admit Evidence Relative to Witness Miro Salcin of 2 July 2007).
3D 00756	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
3D 00771 (page 5 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence. Furthermore, the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00785	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the Praljak Defence did not specify the pages of the document requested for admission.)
3D 00800	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00802	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00840	Praljak Defence	Admitted.
3D 00885	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)

3D 00897	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00903	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00904	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00907	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00929	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00931	Praljak Defence	Moot (Document already admitted by the Order to Admit Evidence Regarding Witness Philip Watkins of 30 August 2007)
3D 00942 (pages 2 to 12, 14 to 20, 27, 29 to 36, 40 to 45, 52, 54 to 61, 63 to 65 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: pages 1 and 2, 11 and 12, 27, 29 to 33, 36, 40 to 45, 52 of the English version in *e*court. Not admitted: pages 3 to 12, 14 to 20, 34 and 35, 54 to 61, 63 to 65 of the English version in *e*court (Reason: Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment on the authenticity, relevance and probative value of the extracts of the document requested for admission.)
3D 00951	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00953	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00963	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 00969	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 00975	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01073 (pages 2 and 3 of the English version *e*-court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
3D 01077	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)

3D 01078	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01104	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
3D 01146	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01145	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01148	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01152	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01161	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01168	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01169	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01171	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01173	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01175	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01178	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01184	Praljak Defence/Stojić Defence	Admitted
3D 01189	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
3D 01192	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01193	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01195	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence did not specify which of the two English translations, one of which has one page and the other 3 pages and which are uploaded onto the *e*court system, is requested for admission.)
3D 01202	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01206	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
3D 01213	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01222	Praljak Defence	Admitted

3D 01228	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01229	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01278 (pages 1, 2 and 4 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: pages 1 and 2 of the English version of the document in *e*court. Not admitted: page 4 of the English version in *e*court (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this extract of the document and the Indictment.)
3D 01279 (pages 1, 5-9, 13-16, 22-25)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01280	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: la Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01281	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: pages 1 to 4 of the English version of the document in *e*court. Not admitted: pages 5 to 10 of the English version in *e*court (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between the extracts of this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01283	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01284	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01285	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01286	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01287	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)

3D 01288	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01289	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Slobodan Praljak failed to explain clearly the relevance, reliability and probative value of the document.)
3D 01290	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01291	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Accused Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment with sufficient clarity on the authenticity, relevance and probative value of the document.)
3D 01292 (pages 3 and 4 of the English version of the document in *e*court).	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1, 3 and 4 of the English version of the document in *e*court).
3D 01293 (pages 1-3 of the English version of the document in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1-3 of the English version of the document in *e*court)
3D 01294 (pages 10 and 11 of the English version of the document in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01295 (pages 4 and 5 of the English version of the document on *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between the extracts of this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01297 (pages 4-6 of the English version of the document in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Accused Slobodan Praljak did not comment on this document.)
3D 01299 (pages 1-4 of the English version of the document in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01300	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01301	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01302	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)

3D 01303	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01304	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01305	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01527	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01537	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01538	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01669	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01676	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01699	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
3D 01719	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01727	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01732	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01986	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01988	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01995	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 01996	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 01998 (pages 2, 5-9 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1, 2 and 5-9 of the English version in *e*court.

3D 02000 (page 2 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Accused Praljak was unable to comment on the authenticity, relevance and probative value of this document.)
3D 02003 (page 6 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1 and 6 of the English version in *e*court
3D 02004 (pages 4 and 5 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Accused Praljak could not comment on the authenticity, relevance and probative value of this document.)
3D 02006 (of the English version in *e*court marked 3D40-0007)	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02029	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02057	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02062	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02063	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02066	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02082	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02087	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02148	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02218	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02322	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02390	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02397	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02415	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02425	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02438	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02448	Praljak Defence	Admitted

3D 02504	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02505	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02543	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02553	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02578	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02584	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02591	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02593	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02608	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Slobodan Praljak did not comment with sufficient clarity on the relevance and probative value of the document.)
3D 02616	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02622	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02633 (pages, 6, 10, 12-14, 16, 24-27, 35, 47, 78 and 79, 86, 95-99, 101, 105, 111-114, 106, 108, 123 and 124, 126, 133, 136, 140 and 141, 144-146, 155, 157, 159, 162, 164, 173, 176 and 177, 180, 182, 184, 194, 199, 203 and 204, 206, 215, 218, 220 and 221, 225 and 226, 231 and 232, 238-241, 246, 248, 256-258, 261-263, 289 and 290-292, 308, 323-325, 331, 336, 341 and 342, 344, 347, 350, 352, 357 and 358, 392, 577, 579 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: *e*court pages 6, 113 and 114, 136, 232, 239, 241, 248, 256-258, 261-263 ; 289-292, 308, 325, 331 and 332, 350, 352, 357 and 392 in English in *e*court. Not admitted : 1) page 323 corresponds to document 2D 00321 already admitted by the Order to Admit Evidence Relative to Witness Jovan Rajkov of 14 February 2007; page 347 corresponds to document 1D 01269 already admitted by the Order to Admit Evidence Regarding Witness DE of 29 March 2007; page 358 corresponds to document 5D 00064 already admitted at the hearing of Witness Edward Vulliamy on 9 May 2006. 2) Slobodan Praljak did not comment or did not comment with sufficient clarity on the extracts requested for admission contained in the following pages of the English version in *e*court:: 26, 98 to 105, 106, 108, 194, 221, 225, 226, 240, 231, 324, 341 and 342 and 345 ; 3) The Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan

		Praljak's testimony a relevant link between the extracts of the document requested for admission and the Indictment in the following pages of the English version in *e*court: 78 and 79, 86 111, 112, 133, 215, 218, 246, 336 and 345. 4) The Prosecution does not challenge the military cooperation between the HV/ABiH in 1991, 1992 and 1993. The extracts requested for admission are outside the geographic and time frame covered by the Indictment, are not sufficiently linked to the latter and, consequently, do not help the Chamber to gain a better understanding of the case. Consequently, the following *e*court pages in English are not admitted: pages 10, 12-14, 16, 24, 25, 27, 35, 47, 95-97, 99, 124, 126, 140 and 141, 144 to 146, 157, 159, 162-164, 173, 177, 180, 184, 199, 203 and 204, 206 5) Not admitted with regard to the English *e*court pages220, 238, 240 and 577-579 for the reason that the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.) 6) Not admitted, the requested extract on page 123 of the English version in *e*court does not mention a date and the events mentioned in that extract of the document could not be dated on the basis of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.
3D 02636	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment with sufficient clarity on the relevance, reliability and probative value of this document.)
3D 02637 (pages, 3 to 11, 20 and 72 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 2 to 11, 20 and 72 of the English version in *e*court.
3D 02638 (pages 3 to 9 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 2, 3 to 9 of the English version in *e*court.
3D 02639 (page 3 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1 and 3 of the English version in *e*court.

3D 02642 (pages 142, 148, 163 and 169 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: pages 3, 4, 163 and 169 of the English version in *e*court. Not admitted with regard to pages 142 and 148 of the English version in *e*court (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02644 (pages 3 and 4 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1 to 4 of the English version in *e*court.
3D 02648 (pages 3 to 6, 12 to 19, 21, 24, 38, 49 to 54, 60 to 62 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part: pages 1, 3, 24, 38, 60 to 62 of the English version in *e*court. Not admitted: pages 4 to 6, 12 to 19, 21, 49 to 54 of the English version in *e*court (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment).
3D 02654	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02656 (pages 6 to 8 and ET – 3D41 4353	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part with regard to pages 6 to 8 of the English version in *e*court. Moot with regard to page ET – 3D41 4353 which is not in the *e*court system.
3D 02657 (page 53 of the English version in *e*court.)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02666	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02673	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document was not put to Slobodan Praljak when he gave evidence).
3D 02758	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02761	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02763	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02766	Praljak Defence	Admitted

3D 02787	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02788	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02795	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02855	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02859	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02860	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02873	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02878	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02884	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02886	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02888	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02889	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02890	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02891	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 02898	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 02992	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03036	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03038	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03039	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03047	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03050	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03064	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03065	Praljak Defence	Admitted

3D 03066	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03086	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03088	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03089	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03091	Praljak Defence	Admitted.
3D 03114	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: This is a fiction on the part of Slobodan Praljak for the needs of his Defence, with no link to the Indictment. The document is irrelevant and has no probative value.)
3D 03127	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03130	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03131	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03140	Praljak Defence	Admitted in part (only that part of the video recording shown at the hearing is admitted).
3D 03148	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03159	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03173	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the document was presented by Slobodan Praljak as part of his opening statement under Rule 84 *bis* of the Rules and cannot be considered an exhibit.)
3D 03175	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the document was presented by Slobodan Praljak as part of his opening statement under Rule 84 *bis* of the Rules and cannot be considered an exhibit.)
3D 03228	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence).

3D 03510	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03535 (supplementary pages to exhibit 3D02647)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: In the *e*court system there is no attachment in English to exhibit 3D 03535. The Chamber was not able to identify the passages in English of exhibit 3D 02647 which were requested for admission as they were not indicated in the IC list.)
3D 03540 (pages 11-21 of the English version in *e*court).	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03541	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: there is no English version of this document in *e*-court.)
3D 03543 (pages 2 to 10, 12 to 36 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Praljak Defence, failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03544	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence. Furthermore, the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03546	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03549	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: the document requested for admission is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
3D 03552	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Slobodan Praljak did not comment on the authenticity, relevance and probative value of the extract of the document.)
3D 03553	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03554 (pages 2-6, 8-17, 19-21, 23 and 24, 27, 29-32 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1-6 ; 8-17 ; 19-21 ; 23 and 24 ; 27 ; 29-32 of the English version in *e*court.
3D 03557 (pages 1 and 2, 5, 8 and 9 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1 and 2, 5, 8 and 9 of the English version in ecourt.

3D 03562	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
3D 03563	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The video recording is not on the Rule 65 *ter* list of the Praljak Defence).
3D 03566 (pages 4, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 23, 29 and 33 of the English version in *e*court)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: pages 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 23, 29 and 33 of the English version of the document in *e*court.
3D 03567 *(3D 02994 also)*[30]	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The Chamber was not able to identify which of the two documents were requested for admission. Furthermore, with regard to document 3D03567, Slobodan Praljak did not comment with sufficient clarity on the authenticity, relevance and probative value of the extract requested for admission. With regard to document 3D 02994, it was not commented on at the hearing by Slobodan Praljak and consequently cannot be admitted.
3D 03724 (maps listed on *e*court pages 1 to 13; 15 to 28)	Praljak Defence	Admitted: *e*court pages 1 to 13; 15 to 28.
3D 03740	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03741	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03742	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03743	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03744	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03745	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03746	Praljak Defence	Admitted
3D 03747	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence).
3D 03748	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the video-recording is not on the Rule 65 *ter* list of the Praljak Defence).
3D 03751	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence).
3D 03752	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence).

[30] The request for admission of Proposed Exhibit 3D 03567 is formulated in such a way in the IC 01036 List that it refers to an inexistant footnote and gives no other explanation.

4D 00834	Praljak Defence/ Stojić Defence	Admitted
4D 00838	Praljak Defence	Not admitted. (Reason: The document is not on the Rule 65 *ter* List of the Praljak Defence)
IC 01011	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01012	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01013	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01014	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01015	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01016	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 1017	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01021	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
IC 01022	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01023	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01026	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: A part of this document has not been translated into English.)
IC 01027	Praljak Defence	Admitted
IC 01028	Praljak Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Praljak Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)

1D 00431 (In whole or, alternatively, pages[31] 3, 23, 24, 27 and 29 of the English version and pages 2, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the BCS version)	Prlić Defence	Admitted in part: pages 3, 23, 24 and 29 of the English version and pages 2, 9, 10 and 12 of the BCS version. Not admitted: page 27 of the English version and page 11 of the BCS version (Reason: page 27 of the English version - page 11 of the BCS version – were not put to the witness as required under para. 27 of Guideline 8 of the Decision of 24 April 2008.)
1D 02252	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Prlić Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)

[31] Page numbers in the *e*court electronic system.

1D 02843	Prlić Defence	Moot (Reason: the Exhibit has already been admitted by the Decision on Prlić Defence Motion for Reconsideration of the Decision on Admission of Documentary Evidence of 29 June 2009)
1D 03137 (Pages 15, 39, 40, 44, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 64, 69, 72, 73, 95, 97, 141, 158, 159, 172, 173, 174, 201 and 203 of the English version)	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Prlić Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document on, among other, Alija Izetbegović's "Jihad" agenda, the Young Muslims movement in the 1940s and 1950s and the links between the RBiH and Iran, and the Indictment.)
1D 03138	Prlić Defence	Admitted
1D 03139	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Witness Slobodan Praljak did not comment on the relevance and probative value of the exhibit.)
1D 03141	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Prlić Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document, which refers to the activities of the Iranian secret services on the territory of BH in 1996, and the Indictment. The Chamber refers the Prlić Defence to the Decision of 13 May 2009.)
1D 03142	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Witness Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment on the probative value, relevance and authenticity of the exhibit.)
1D 03145	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Prlić Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a relevant link between this document on, among other, the donations from Saudi Arabia to BiH in the 1990s, and the Indictment.)
3D 00920	Prlić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Chamber considers that the Prlić Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document on the ethnic origins of the members of the 3rd Corps of the ABiH and the Indictment.)
P 01240 (Page 3 of the English version and page 4 of the BCS version)	Prlić Defence	Moot (Reason: page 3 of the English version of the exhibit has already been admitted by the Decision on Prlić Defence Motion for Reconsideration of Decision Admitting Presidential Transcripts of 13 May 2009)

2D 00197	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Stojić Defence failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to this, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 00717	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 00960	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Stojić Defence failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to this, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01028	Stojić Defence	Admitted[32]
2D 01251	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Witness Slobodan Praljak did not comment on the relevance and probative value of the exhibit.)
2D 01541	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01542	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01543	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)

[32] CRF p. 42128.

2D 01544	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01545	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01546	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01547	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01548	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01549	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)

2D 01550	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01551	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01552	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01553	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01554	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01555	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)

2D 01556	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01557	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01558	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01559	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01560	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 01561	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 03000	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03001	Stojić Defence	Admitted

2D 03002	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03003	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03004	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03005	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03006	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03007	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03008	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03011	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03036	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to para. 27 of the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 03037	Stojić Defence	Admitted(Reason: The Stojić Defence, failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. With regard to the topic broached in the document, the Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 03038	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03039	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03040	Stojić Defence	Admitted
2D 03041	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Stojić Defence failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. The Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to para. 27 of the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 03042	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Stojić Defence failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. The Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to para. 27 of the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
2D 03043	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Stojić Defence failed to establish through the testimony of Slobodan Praljak that there is a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment. The Chamber refers the Stojić Defence to para. 27 of the Chamber's Decision of 21 July 2009)
3D 00596	Stojić Defence	Admitted
3D 00915	Stojić Defence	Admitted

3D 01870	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment on the probative value, the relevance and authenticity of the exhibit.)
4D 00834	Stojić Defence	Admitted
IC 01034	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 00092	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 00598	Stojić Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment on the probative value, the relevance and authenticity of the exhibit.)
P 00616	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 00620	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 02523	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 03885	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 04037	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 04268	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 05199	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 05239	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 05266	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 06846	Stojić Defence	Admitted
P 01341	Petković Defence	Admitted
P 02002	Petković Defence	Admitted
2D 01295	Petković Defence	Moot (Reason: the exhibit has already been admitted by the Decision on the Stojić Defence Motion for the Admission of Documentary Evidence (Cooperation between the Authorities and the Armed Forces of Herceg-Bosna and the Authorities and the Armed Forces of the ABiH) of 21 July 2009)
2D 01389	Petković Defence	Admitted
3D 00272	Petković Defence	Admitted
3D 03260	Petković Defence	Admitted
3D 03316	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 00019	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 00366	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 00475	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 00805	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01205	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01286	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01297	Petković Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the Pretković Defence failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony a sufficiently relevant link between this document and the Indictment.)
4D 01299	Petković Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the English version of the document uploaded onto *e*court is incomplete.)
4D 01300	Petković Defence	Admitted

4D 01311 (In whole or, alternatively, lines 9 and 10 of paragraph 3 on page 2 of the English version)	Petković Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the original BCS version does not correspond to the English version uploaded onto *e*court.)
4D 01328	Petković Defence	Moot (Reason: the exhibit has already been admitted by the *Ordonnance portant admission d'éléments de preuve relatifs au témoin Josip Jučević* of 6 October 2009)
4D 01330	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01331	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01339	Petković Defence	Not admitted (Reason: the exhibit has no letterhead, signature, stamp or other indicia attesting its reliability and authenticity. Furthermore, the witness did not comment on the authenticity of the document.)
4D 01342	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01344	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01345	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01346 (In whole or, alternatively, Rule 69)	Petković Defence	Admitted in whole
4D 01454	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01463	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01464	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01523	Petković Defence	Not admitted (Reason: Witness Slobodan Praljak was unable to comment on the probative value, relevance and authenticity of the exhibit.)
4D 01524	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01586	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01611	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01655	Petković Defence	Moot (Reason: the exhibit has already been admitted by the Order Admitting Evidence Regarding Witness Zvonimir Skender of 13 October 2009)
4D 01671	Petković Defence	Admitted
4D 01700	Petković Defence	Admitted
P 01272	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 01359	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 02649	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 02836	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 03135	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 03409	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 03548	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 03883	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 03954	Ćorić Defence	Admitted

P 04156	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 04266	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 04413	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 04494	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 05030	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 05366	Ćorić Defence	Admitted in part: pages 1 and 2. Not admitted in all other respects (Reason: the Ćorić Defence failed to specify which pages of this compilation of documents it requests for admission. Furthermore, the Ćorić Defence did not put pages 3 to 11 of this compilation of documents to the witness.
P 05621	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 06569	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 06658	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 06662	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
P 07361	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
2D 00899	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
2D 00906	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
3D 03027	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
5D 02022	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
5D 02189	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
5D 04089	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
5D 04096	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
5D 04379	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
5D 04394	Ćorić Defence /Praljak Defence	Admitted
5D 05084	Ćorić Defence	Admitted
3D 00320 (Page 144 of the BCS version and pages 187 and 188 of the English version (3D28-0139).)	Prosecution	Admitted
3D 00374 (Page 59 of the English version and page 50 of the BCS version)	Prosecution	Admitted (Page 59 of the English version and page 50 of the BCS version)
3D 00909	Prosecution	Admitted
3D 03724 (Map 11)	Prosecution	Admitted
4D 00834	Prosecution	Admitted

P 00336	Prosecution	Not admitted (Reason: The Prosecution failed to specify which pages of the partially admitted presidential transcript it requests for admission. The Chamber notes that nearly 100 pages of a total of 184 pages of this document have been admitted by the decisions on the admission of presidential transcripts of 17 January 2008 and 4 March 2009).
P 00037 (Page 48 of the English and BCS versions)	Prosecution	Admitted
P 00524 (The full text of the presidential transcript or, alternatively, pages 23, 54 and 55 of the English and BCS versions)	Prosecution	Admitted in part: pages 23, 54 and 55 of the English and BCS versions Not admitted in all other respects: (Reason: the Prosecution did not put the other pages of the presidential transcript to the witness Slobodan Praljak)
P 00642	Prosecution	Admitted
P 00842	Prosecution	Admitted
P 01040	Prosecution	Moot (Reason: the exhibit was already admitted by the Order to Admit Evidence Relative to Witness Enes Delalić of 4 July 2007)
P 01277	Prosecution	Admitted
P 02864	Prosecution	Admitted
P 03142	Prosecution	Admitted
P 03831 (Mixed document: for the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness and establishing the guilt of the accused)	Prosecution	Admitted (This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 03887 (Mixed document: for the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness and establishing the guilt of the accused)	Prosecution	Admitted (This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 03925 under seal	Prosecution	Admitted
P 04420 under seal	Prosecution	Admitted

P 04470	Prosecution	Moot (Reason: the exhibit has already been admitted by the Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence (Two Motions : HVO and Herceg-Bosna) of 11 December 2007)
P 04495	Prosecution	Admitted
P 06831 (Pages 8 and 18 of the English and BCS versions)	Prosecution	Admitted (Pages 8 and 18 of the English and BCS versions)
P 09043 (Mixed document: for the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness and establishing the guilt of the accused)	Prosecution	Admitted (This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 09258 (Pages 6,7, 8 and 9 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 21:27-23:48, 28:50-34:19 and 29:59-34:19)	Prosecution	Admitted (Pages 6,7, 8 and 9 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 21:27-23:48, 28:50-34:19 and 29:59-34:19)
P 09324	Prosecution	Admitted
P 09447 (Pages 8 and 9 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 32:07-33:25)	Prosecution	Admitted (Pages 8 and 9 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 32:07-33:25)
P 09470 (Page 2 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 00:10-04:24)	Prosecution	Admitted (Page 2 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 00:10-04:24)
P 09488 (Page 4 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 11:27-12:15)	Prosecution	Admitted (Page 4 of the English version corresponding to the following time on the video-tape: 11:27-12:15)
P 09533	Prosecution	Not admitted (Reason: The English translation of this document has not been uploaded onto the *e*court system.)
P 09769	Prosecution	Admitted
P 09817	Prosecution	Admitted

P 10924	Prosecution	Admitted
P 10936 (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness and establishing the guilt of the accused)	Prosecution	Not admitted (Reason: according to the Prosecution the document which is from the Croatian archives has no seal nor stamp. The Chamber considers that the Prosecution failed to establish through Slobodan Praljak's testimony sufficient indicia on the authenticity and reliability of this document.)
P 10943 (Pages 4, 5 and 11 of the English version) (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness)	Prosecution	Admitted (Page 4, 5 and 11 of the English version. This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 10958 (Paragraph 1 of page 5 of the English version) (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness)	Prosecution	Admitted (Paragraph 1 of page 5 of the English version. This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 10960 (Pages 2-5 of the English version and pages 1-3 of the BCS version) (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness)	Prosecution	Admitted (Pages 2-5 of the English version. This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 10963 (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness)	Prosecution	Admitted (This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 10968 (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness)	Prosecution	Admitted (This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)
P 10980 (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness and establishing the guilt of the accused)	Prosecution	Not admitted (Reason: the admission of this exhibit is regulated under Rule 92 *bis* of the Rules.)

P 11033 (For the purpose of casting doubt on the credibility of the witness)	Prosecution	Admitted (This document is admitted solely in that it goes to disprove the credibility of Slobodan Praljak's testimony.)