

Before: Judge Alphons Orié, Presiding
Judge Michèle Picard
Judge Elisabeth Gwaunza

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

Public

The Prosecutor
v.
Jovica Stanišić
and
Franko Simatović

Order to Redact the Public Transcript
and the Public Broadcast of a Hearing

The Trial Chamber

ORDERS that the following highlighted portion of the hearing dated 14 December 2011 be edited from the public transcript and public broadcast of this hearing

The screenshot shows a transcript with a large blacked-out redaction covering several lines of text. The visible text includes:

- 13:41:53 Q. Witness, very briefly, please describe for us the situation with
- 13:42:06 inter-ethnic relations in the larger town around Knin such as Šibenik.
- 13:42:16 Zadar and Split in a few sentences, please?
- 13:42:20 A. Immediately before on the 30th of May 1992, there were
- 13:42:32 multi-party elections, the first such elections in Croatia, and National
- 13:42:41 Alliance parties seized power, some of which used chauvinist rhetoric and
- 13:42:52 both Croats and Serbs were more interested in nationalism as it seemed.
- 13:43:02 This increased tensions and relations throughout Croatia and later on
- 13:43:25 Yugoslavia.
- 13:43:25 Q. Witness, did you know well the environment you were sent to work
- 13:43:25 in?
- 13:43:25 A. No, I didn't know the situation there. Most of the entire staff
- 13:43:26 of the SRK, I knew only two or three members who had previously attended
- 13:43:33 school with me or had positions outside Croatia i.e., in Kosovo. I
- 13:43:41 didn't know the rest.
- 13:43:42 Q. Witness, when following your arrival did you meet anyone from the
- 13:43:52 political leadership of the municipality of Knin and the area?
- 13:43:55 A. As regards my contacts with politicians in the area, perhaps it
- 13:44:08 was up to 20 or more days following my arrival that I met Milan Babic and
- 13:44:18 Đorđe Radić who was the speaker of the Assembly, as well as Nevo Handžić,
- 13:44:35 of course.
- 13:44:35 Q. My last question for today, witness. Do you know why it took so
- 13:44:44 long before you met the key people in the municipality?

The redacted section covers approximately lines 13:44:44 to 13:45:01. Below the redaction, the transcript continues with:

- 13:45:05 MR. PETERSON: [Interpretation] Thank you, witness.
- 13:45:05 Your Honours, I'm looking at the clock. I believe it is time
- 13:45:09 to adjourn for the day.
- 13:45:09 THE COURT: That's true, Mr. Petrovic.
- 13:45:09 We'll adjourn for the day. It was only about, witness
- 13:45:10 10:04, that you were with us, but tomorrow there will be more time.
- 13:45:15 We'll see you back tomorrow, Thursday, the 15th of December, at
- 13:45:21 9:00 in the morning in this same courtroom. And I hereby instruct
- 13:45:21 you that you should not speak or communicate in any other way with
- 13:45:24 whoever about your testimony, whether testimony already given or
- 13:45:28 whether it is testimony still to be given. Is that clear to you?
- 13:45:28 Then we adjourn and resume tomorrow.
- 13:45:28 THE STENOGRAPHER: [Interpretation] It is clear.
- 13:45:31 Thereupon the hearing adjourned at 1:43 p.m.
- 13:45:31 to be resumed on Thursday, the 15th day of

Image of a document, broadcasted during the highlighted time-code, is confidential. Any person or organization, including media organizations, which has possession of the public recording of all or the relevant portion of the proceeding containing the confidential information is hereby enjoined from disclosing it to any other person(s) or organization(s) as of the date and time this order is received. The failure to conform may result in contempt charges being issued by the Tribunal against the disclosing person or organization.

Alphons Orié
Presiding Judge