

**General Assembly
Security Council**Distr.: General
1 August 2017

Original: English

General Assembly**Seventy-second session**

Item 76 of the provisional agenda*

**Report of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution
of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law Committed in the
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991****Security Council****Seventy-second year****Report of the International Tribunal for the
Former Yugoslavia****Note by the Secretary-General**

The Secretary-General has the honour to transmit to the members of the General Assembly and the members of the Security Council the twenty-fourth and final annual report of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991, submitted by the President of the International Tribunal in accordance with article 34 of the statute of the Tribunal (see [S/25704](#) and Corr.1, annex), which states that:

The President of the International Tribunal shall submit an annual report of the International Tribunal to the Security Council and to the General Assembly.

* [A/72/150](#).



Letter of transmittal

Letter dated 1 August 2017 from the President of the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia addressed to the President of the General Assembly and the President of the Security Council

I have the honour to submit the twenty-fourth and final annual report of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991, dated 1 August 2017, to the General Assembly and the Security Council, pursuant to article 34 of the statute of the Tribunal.

(Signed) Carmel Agius
President

Twenty-fourth and final annual report of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Summary

The twenty-fourth annual report of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 covers the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2017. It is the Tribunal's final annual report, pending its anticipated closure on 31 December 2017.

The Tribunal continued to make solid progress towards the final implementation of its completion strategy. All 161 individuals indicted for serious violations of international humanitarian law are accounted for, and only two substantive cases remain: one trial and one appeal. Both cases are on track for delivery of judgment by 30 November 2017, with judges and staff working extremely hard to ensure that all judicial work is completed on time. There is still one pending contempt case, with Serbia continuing to refuse to execute arrest warrants for the accused. The present report details the activities of the Tribunal during the reporting period and demonstrates the Tribunal's firm commitment to completing its proceedings expeditiously and in accordance with due process and fair trial rights.

During the reporting period, Judge Carmel Agius (Malta) continued to serve as President of the Tribunal and Judge Liu Daqun (China) as Vice-President. The President and Vice-President continued to monitor the progress of cases and undertake measures to prevent and avoid delays, including by attempting to counter staff attrition.

In addition to the core judicial work, the Tribunal's liquidation efforts remained a key priority and, indeed, picked up pace during the reporting period. The Tribunal is committed to a smooth and successful liquidation process and to learning from the experience of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda. As part of those activities, the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia continued to work hard to ensure the smooth transition of its functions to the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals.

Under the leadership of the President, the Tribunal also continued to make the most of its final opportunities to consolidate its image in the region of the former Yugoslavia and beyond, through its Legacy Dialogues, a series of legacy and closing events.

The Office of the Prosecutor remained focused on expeditiously completing its trial and appeal proceedings, while simultaneously managing its downsizing process and transferring its functions to the Office of the Prosecutor of the Mechanism. It continued to rely on the cooperation of States as required by article 29 of the Tribunal's statute and remained committed to promoting effective war crimes prosecutions in the former Yugoslavia, but deplores Serbia's return to a practice of non-cooperation with the Tribunal in relation to the arrest and transfer of indictees.

The Registry continued to provide legal, administrative, technical and logistical support to the Tribunal's judicial activities, while also focusing on and coordinating the Tribunal's liquidation, including preparations for further downsizing and the transfer of records to the Mechanism, in the light of the Tribunal's imminent closure.

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction	5
II. Activities involving the entire Tribunal	5
A. President	5
B. Bureau	9
C. Coordination Council	9
D. Plenary sessions	9
E. Rules Committee	9
F. Evaluation by the Office of Internal Oversight Services	9
G. Gender balance	10
III. Activities of the Chambers	10
A. Composition of the Chambers	10
B. Principal activities of the Chambers	11
IV. Activities of the Office of the Prosecutor	12
A. Completion of trials and appeals	12
B. Management	12
C. Cooperation	13
D. Transition from the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia to national war crimes prosecutions	13
V. Activities of the Registry	15
A. Office of the Registrar	15
B. Division of Judicial Support Services	16
C. Transfer of records	17
D. Communications Service	17
E. Administration Division	18
VI. Conclusion	19
Annex: Outcome document of the Legacy Dialogues Conference of the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	20

I. Introduction

1. The International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 presents herein its twenty-fourth annual report to the General Assembly and Security Council, this being the Tribunal's final annual report before its closure on 31 December 2017. The twenty-fourth annual report outlines the activities of the Tribunal for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2017.

2. During this final reporting period, the Tribunal continued to make solid progress towards the successful conclusion of its mandate and the ultimate implementation of its completion strategy, as endorsed by the Security Council in its resolution 1503 (2003) and 1534 (2004). It remains on track to close by 31 December 2017. All 161 individuals indicted for serious violations of international humanitarian law are accounted for, and only two substantive cases remain: one trial case, involving one individual, and one appeal case, involving six individuals. In the final trial case of *Prosecutor v. Ratko Mladić*, closing arguments were presented in December 2016 and the Trial Chamber is fully engaged in deliberations and in the drafting of the judgment. In the final appeal case of *Prosecutor v. Jadranko Prlić et al.*, the appeal hearing was held in March 2017, and the Appeals Chamber is likewise fully focused on ongoing deliberations and judgment drafting. In both cases, the estimate for delivery of judgment remains unchanged at November 2017, as previously forecast.

3. In the pending contempt case of *Prosecutor v. Jojić et al.*, however, Serbia has continued to refuse to cooperate with the Tribunal throughout the reporting period and again failed to execute arrest warrants for the indictees, which were issued in January 2015. Following the reported death in June 2017 of one of the three accused, it is expected that the case against Jovo Ostojić will be terminated by the Trial Chamber.

4. Throughout the reporting period, Judge Carmel Agius (Malta) and Judge Liu Daqun (China) continued to serve as President and Vice-President of the Tribunal, respectively. Serge Brammertz (Belgium) continued to serve as Prosecutor and John Hocking (Australia) as Registrar.

5. Each organ of the Tribunal continued to undertake measures to ensure completion of the Tribunal's mandate by the end of 2017, not only with respect to concluding the remaining judicial work, but also by focusing on the need for an efficient liquidation process and smooth final transition to the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals. In addition, under the leadership of President Agius, the Tribunal continued to focus on consolidating its legacy prior to its closure, both in the region of the former Yugoslavia and beyond, through its Legacy Dialogues, a series of legacy and closing events.

II. Activities involving the entire Tribunal

A. President

6. President Agius oversaw the Tribunal's work during the final annual reporting period, cooperating closely with the Registrar, judges and management to ensure the timely completion of the remaining trial and appeal cases and a smooth and successful liquidation process. While his primary focus remained on his judicial work and on meeting his responsibilities to close the Tribunal by 31 December 2017, the President also continued to work on consolidating the image of the

Tribunal through the Legacy Dialogues events. In addition, the President oversaw the Tribunal's continued reporting requirements in relation to an evaluation of the methods and work of the Tribunal undertaken in 2016 by the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS). The President represented the Tribunal at international forums and met with representatives of various countries, as well as high-level officials of the United Nations. Further details on all activities are provided below.

1. Ensuring timely completion of the Tribunal's judicial work

7. The President and Vice-President coordinated closely with judges, management and staff of the Tribunal to ensure that the final trial and appeal proceedings remain on track for completion by November 2017 and to reduce the risk of potential delays.

8. The President and Vice-President continued to actively monitor the progress of the remaining cases, with the Trial and Appeals Scheduling Working Group, chaired by the Vice-President, continuing to meet regularly to monitor and report on trials and appeals, ensure that cases are kept on track and identify and prevent any possible causes of delay. Both the President and Vice-President took all possible measures to prevent and address the impact of continued staff attrition, which constitutes the most significant threat to the Tribunal's ability to conclude all judicial work on time. Such measures included assigning additional legal staff to teams requiring assistance as a result of the departure of highly experienced staff, offering promotions as an incentive to retain staff and continuing to explore the possibility of other retention incentives.

9. In particular, in October 2016, the Tribunal presented to the Department of Management a proposal for retention incentives for staff members who remain at the Tribunal until the end of their respective contracts, in the hope that it would be submitted for the urgent consideration of the General Assembly. The proposal is similar to a previous proposal submitted by the Tribunal in 2008, which was endorsed by the International Civil Service Commission and recommended by the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions, but unfortunately, no final action was taken by the Fifth Committee of the General Assembly. Unlike in 2008, when the previous proposal was submitted, the Tribunal's completion strategy has a firm target date of 31 December 2017, and staff attrition has already reached a critical level. In addition, the Tribunal's downsizing plan has largely been implemented, meaning that there are far fewer staff members eligible for retention incentives and the costs involved are considerably reduced. Finally, the revised proposal applies only to the Tribunal, unlike the previous proposal, which applied also to the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda. Unfortunately, the Tribunal has not received a definitive response from the Department of Management to its proposal of October 2016, which has not been presented to the General Assembly. The President followed up with a letter addressed to the Under-Secretary-General for Management in April 2017 and subsequently raised the proposal in a series of meetings, including with the Secretary-General, in May 2017. The President thanks the Secretary-General for his receptivity and his understanding of the situation. The Tribunal is still awaiting a final response to the proposal.

10. Despite the considerable challenges posed by rapidly declining staff numbers, the Tribunal's judges and staff continued to work extremely hard during the reporting period in order to be able to meet strict internal deadlines for deliberations and drafting in the remaining cases. Staff members are already working overtime to complete the mandate, and the load and pace of work are only expected to increase in the lead-up to the delivery of judgment. The President takes this opportunity to express his deep and heartfelt gratitude to all staff members for their outstanding work, efforts and dedication during this final chapter of the Tribunal's existence.

2. Relations with Governments and international organizations

11. During the reporting period, President Agius made presentations regarding the Tribunal's work to the principal organs of the United Nations and the Informal Working Group on International Tribunals. On 9 November 2016, the President addressed the General Assembly to present the Tribunal's twenty-third annual report (A/71/263-S/2016/670). On 8 December 2016, he addressed the Security Council to present the Tribunal's twenty-sixth completion strategy report (S/2016/976). On 7 June 2017, he addressed the Security Council to present the Tribunal's twenty-seventh completion strategy report (S/2017/436).

12. In addition, during his missions to New York and on the margins of his addresses to the General Assembly and Security Council, President Agius met with representatives of Member States, officials of the Office of Legal Affairs and representatives of the Department of Management. The President also met for a final time with the then-Secretary-General, Ban Ki-Moon, in November 2016 and had his first meeting with the current Secretary-General, António Guterres, in May 2017.

13. Various representatives of Governments and judiciaries visited the Tribunal during the reporting period and met with the President, judges and other officials to learn about and discuss the Tribunal's work, achievements and challenges. President Agius received visits from, among others, the ambassadors of Austria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Canada, Croatia, Denmark, Finland, Israel, Italy, Norway, Serbia, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, as well as the chargé d'affaires of the Russian Federation. In addition, he received both the Director General for Legal Affairs and Legal Adviser of Germany and the Federal Public Prosecutor General of Germany. Further, in March 2017, a delegation of judges from Panama conducted a visit to the Tribunal.

14. In addition to his missions to New York, President Agius travelled to Brussels in October 2016 and May 2017, to meet with members of the European Commission for the purposes of discussing, inter alia, the Tribunal's outreach programme, as well as its legacy and closing events. In February 2017, the President conducted a mission to Zagreb, the first in a series of visits to the region of the former Yugoslavia during the Tribunal's final year. In May 2017, he travelled to Nuremberg, Germany, to participate in a seminar dedicated to discussions of the Tribunal's legacy, organized by the International Nuremberg Principles Academy. The President undertook a further mission to Brussels in May 2017 to attend the second European Union Day Against Impunity, where he spoke about the Tribunal's legacy. In June 2017, President Agius conducted a mission to Bosnia and Herzegovina, his second to the region, which was followed by the Tribunal's final Legacy Dialogues event, a conference held in Sarajevo from 22 to 24 June. He returned to Bosnia and Herzegovina soon afterwards to attend a commemoration ceremony at the Potočari Memorial Centre, on 11 July 2017, which marked the twenty-second anniversary of the genocide in Srebrenica. In his speech at the ceremony, the President directed three specific messages: to the deniers of the genocide, to the victims and their families and to the leaders of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

3. Judicial activity

15. The statute of the Tribunal, the Rules of Procedure and Evidence and the Practice Directions confer upon the President certain judicial functions. In carrying out such duties during the reporting period, and in addition to his responsibilities as presiding judge on the *Prlić et al.* case, President Agius issued orders and decisions in his capacity as President (many of which were issued confidentially), including

numerous orders relating to the assignment of cases to Chambers, and five decisions and orders in respect of motions filed before the President by Ratko Mladić. In addition, Vice-President Liu issued three decisions and orders in his capacity as Acting President in respect of motions filed by Ratko Mladić where a conflict of interest arose with respect to the President.

4. Transition to the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals

16. The branch of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals located at The Hague commenced operations on 1 July 2013. During the reporting period, the President of the Tribunal continued to oversee and to take steps to ensure the smooth handover of all relevant and remaining functions to the Mechanism by 31 December 2017, including through regular meetings with the Registrar and the Tribunal's Coordination Council and meetings of the Records and Archives Working Group and the Liquidation Taskforce. In this respect, the President of the Tribunal also continued to coordinate and communicate with the President of the Mechanism.

5. Liquidation

17. In addition to the judicial caseload, the Tribunal's liquidation activities have been, and will remain, a key priority for the President during the last phase of the Tribunal's existence. While the Tribunal has already undertaken various liquidation activities over the past several years, such activities significantly picked up pace during the final reporting period.

18. Under the supervision of the Registrar, the Liquidation Taskforce continued to meet on a regular basis to guide the timely end of the Tribunal's functions and ensure a smooth handover to the Mechanism; a number of staff downsizing exercises took place, in line with existing schedules; over 90 per cent of assets were approved for transfer to the Mechanism, with the remainder slated for donation or disposal in line with the Tribunal's asset disposal project plan; the Tribunal's Procurement Section continued to work towards ensuring that all contractual responsibilities of the Tribunal are either transferred to the Mechanism or established in the name of the Mechanism before the Tribunal's closure; and the disposition of the Tribunal's physical and digital records, including the transfer of relevant records to the Mechanism, continued apace under the guidance of the Tribunal's Records and Archives Working Group.

19. The President and the Tribunal are committed to a smooth, efficient, timely and successful liquidation and have taken on board several lessons learned from the liquidation experience of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda.

6. Legacy and closing events

20. In addition to its core judicial and liquidation efforts, the Tribunal has, under the leadership of the President, continued to focus on how it can best consolidate its legacy before closure and ensure a long-lasting impact, particularly in the region of the former Yugoslavia. During the final reporting period, the Tribunal organized and hosted a number of events as part of its Legacy Dialogues series with the active involvement of the Planning Committee, which includes representatives of the Office of the President, the Registry, the Office of the Prosecutor and the Association of Defence Counsel.

21. As one of the key events in the Legacy Dialogues series, the Tribunal hosted a final conference in Sarajevo, from 22 to 24 June 2017, which provided an opportunity for experts, practitioners and stakeholders from the region and beyond to discuss and learn from the Tribunal's achievements, challenges and experiences. A series of conclusions and recommendations reflecting the discussions and

outcomes of the conference were adopted during the final session and are attached hereto (see annex). Other legacy events during the reporting period included public screenings of the Tribunal's latest documentaries, a public discussion between the first and final registrars of the Tribunal, Theodoor van Boven and John Hocking, and a two-day workshop for teachers from the former Yugoslavia on how to make use of the Tribunal's archives.

22. Shortly before its closure, the Tribunal will host a final academic symposium at The Hague, on 18 December 2017, to be followed by an official closing ceremony on 19 December 2017. In addition, a formal commemoration will be held in New York on 4 December 2017.

B. Bureau

23. Pursuant to rule 23 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, the Bureau is composed of the President, the Vice-President and the presiding judges of the Trial Chambers. With only one Trial Chamber remaining, the Bureau now comprises President Agius, Vice-President Liu and Judge Alphons Orié, the presiding judge of Trial Chamber I. During the reporting period, the President regularly consulted the Bureau on general policy matters of the Tribunal, as well as on specific legal, procedural and operational issues.

C. Coordination Council

24. Pursuant to rule 23 bis of the Rules, the Coordination Council consists of the President, the Prosecutor and the Registrar. The Council held several meetings during the reporting period to discuss, inter alia, progress towards the implementation of the completion strategy, archiving, budgetary concerns, the transition of Tribunal functions to the Mechanism and the evaluation of the Tribunal undertaken by OIOS, as described below.

D. Plenary sessions

25. During the reporting period, the judges of the Tribunal met in plenary on three occasions: at an extraordinary session on 15 November 2016, during which amendments to the Tribunal's Rules of Detention were adopted, and at two regular plenary sessions on 1 February and 17 July 2017.

E. Rules Committee

26. The judicial membership of the Rules Committee comprised Vice-President Liu (Chair), President Agius and Judges Alphons Orié and Christoph Flüge. The non-voting members include the Prosecutor, the Registrar and a representative of the Association of Defence Counsel. There were no meetings of the Rules Committee during the reporting period.

F. Evaluation by the Office of Internal Oversight Services

27. By its resolution [2256 \(2015\)](#), the Security Council requested OIOS to carry out an evaluation with respect to the methods and work of the Tribunal and to present its report by 1 June 2016. Pursuant to that resolution and to the OIOS evaluation, the Tribunal reported in full on the implementation of OIOS

recommendations in its semi-annual report submitted to the Security Council on 17 November 2016, including its adoption, on 6 July 2016, of a code of professional conduct for the judges of the Tribunal (see [S/2016/976](#), enclosure VII).

28. By its resolution [2329 \(2016\)](#), the Security Council, inter alia, encouraged the Tribunal to continue to report on its implementation of OIOS recommendations. Owing to limited time and resources in the lead-up to its closure and the urgent need to focus on the completion of the remaining judicial work, the Tribunal, in its subsequent semi-annual report to the Security Council, dated 17 May 2017 ([S/2017/436](#)), reaffirmed its prior response to the recommendations, as set out in the previous report ([S/2016/976](#)).

G. Gender balance

29. The Tribunal is pleased to report that overall, as of March 2017, women make up 59 per cent of Tribunal staff in the Professional category across all departments and 53 per cent of General Service staff. The Tribunal is particularly proud that it has achieved equal representation of women among its staff, including at the higher-level positions. It has also benefited over many years from the establishment of a focal point for women. While it is unfortunate that there are no women judges or principals at the end of the Tribunal's mandate, the Tribunal wishes to acknowledge the remarkable contribution made in previous years by women judges, prosecutors and registrars and notes that, at one point, all three principals were women.

III. Activities of the Chambers

A. Composition of the Chambers

30. Seven permanent judges from seven countries currently serve at the Tribunal: Carmel Agius (President, Malta), Liu Daqun (Vice-President, China), Alphons Orié (Netherlands), Fausto Pocar (Italy), Theodor Meron (United States of America), Bakone Justice Moloto (South Africa) and Christoph Flügge (Germany). In addition, during the reporting period, Judge Burton Hall (Bahamas) served as an ad hoc Appeals Chamber judge.

31. During the reporting period there remained only one Trial Chamber, composed of Judges Orié (presiding), Flügge and Moloto, with responsibility for the *Mladić* case and *Jojić et al.* case.

32. The Appeals Chamber in the *Prlić et al.* case was composed of Judges Agius (presiding), Liu, Pocar, Meron and Moloto. Since Judge Moloto is also part of the Trial Chamber in the *Mladić* case, he could not be assigned to interlocutory appeals from the same case. As a result, there was an insufficient number of judges to enable the Appeals Chamber to deal with any interlocutory appeals from the *Mladić* case.

33. The Tribunal requested the assistance of the Security Council in finding a solution and, on 6 September 2016, through its resolution [2306 \(2016\)](#) the Council unanimously agreed to amend the statute of the Tribunal by adding a new article 13 quinquies, which allows for the appointment of an ad hoc judge in the event that no permanent judge is available for assignment to the Appeals Chamber. Subsequently, Judge Hall (Bahamas) was appointed as an ad hoc judge and assigned to three interlocutory appeals from the *Mladić* case.

34. The Tribunal once more wishes to express its sincere gratitude to the members of the Security Council for their cooperation and assistance in this matter.

B. Principal activities of the Chambers

1. Trial Chamber I

Mladić

35. Ratko Mladić is charged with 11 counts of genocide, crimes against humanity and violations of the laws or customs of war, all in relation to acts allegedly committed in Bosnia and Herzegovina between 12 May 1992 and 30 November 1995. The trial commenced on 16 May 2012 and the evidentiary phase was concluded in August 2016, with closing arguments presented by the parties on 15 December 2016. The Trial Chamber is presently fully engaged in deliberations and in the drafting of the judgment, with the estimate for delivery of judgment remaining November 2017. The judges and legal support team have taken a variety of measures to minimize delays in the preparation of the trial judgment, including involving additional staff resources in the drafting process. Although such resources have been assigned, highly qualified staff members have left during the reporting period and are expected to continue to leave the Tribunal for more secure employment elsewhere. It will therefore be an increasing challenge to maintain the continuity of core staff, which is of utmost importance in a case of such size and complexity.

Jojić et al.

36. Petar Jojić, Jovo Ostojić and Vjerica Radeta were charged with four counts of contempt of court in relation to alleged witness intimidation in the trial case of *Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj*. The proceedings of the *Jojić et al.* case were confidential until 1 December 2015, and arrest warrants have been pending execution in Serbia for more than two and a half years, since 19 January 2015. On 5 October 2016, international arrest warrants for the accused were issued confidentially by the Trial Chamber and were later released either publicly or in a public, redacted form on 29 November 2016. On 24 March 2017, the International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL) informed the Registry that it had issued red notices for the arrest of the accused, effective 16 March 2017. As reported and urged by President Agius on numerous occasions, it is imperative that the Security Council urgently find a solution for this case so that impunity is avoided. The amicus curiae prosecutor in the *Jojić et al.* case recently informed the Trial Chamber of the death of one of the accused, Jovo Ostojić. Once his death has been officially confirmed, it is expected that the case against Mr. Ostojić will be terminated by the Trial Chamber.

2. Appeals Chamber

Interlocutory appeals

37. The Appeals Chamber issued several decisions and orders in respect of three interlocutory appeals filed by Ratko Mladić during the reporting period. Some of those were issued confidentially and therefore cannot be discussed herein. On 27 February 2017, the Appeals Chamber issued a public decision dismissing an interlocutory appeal by Mr. Mladić against a decision of the Trial Chamber, which had rejected Mr. Mladić's allegations that his rights to a fair trial and the presumption of innocence had been compromised. The Appeals Chamber found that Mr. Mladić had failed in multiple respects to demonstrate that the Trial Chamber had erred in its decision. On 30 June 2017, the Appeals Chamber issued a public, redacted version of a decision on Mr. Mladić's interlocutory appeal against the Trial Chamber's decision on an urgent defence motion for provisional release. The Appeals Chamber found that Mr. Mladić had failed to demonstrate a discernible

error of the Trial Chamber in its denial of provisional release. In connection with that decision, the Appeals Chamber issued an order on 24 May 2017 for an expedited response and reply to the motion.

Appeals from judgment

38. In the *Prlić et al.* case, briefing was completed on 29 May 2015 and the appeal hearing was held from 20 to 28 March 2017. The anticipated time frame for delivery of the appeal judgment remains November 2017. As previously reported, this is the most voluminous appellate case in the history of the Tribunal and international criminal law, with seven appellants (including the Office of the Prosecutor), a combined total of over 500 grounds and sub-grounds of appeal and 12,196 pages of appellate submissions dealing with a trial judgment of more than 2,000 pages. Although additional staff resources have been assigned in order to ensure that the November 2017 deadline can be met, several highly qualified staff members left the Tribunal during the reporting period for more secure employment elsewhere, and further staff departures are imminent. As with the *Mladić* case, it will be increasingly difficult to retain core staff members familiar with the voluminous case records and appeal briefs and the working methods of the Tribunal.

Other appeals

39. A total of 16 pre-appeal and appeal decisions and orders, all arising from the *Prlić et al.* case, were issued during the reporting period.¹

IV. Activities of the Office of the Prosecutor

A. Completion of trials and appeals

40. During the reporting period, the Office of the Prosecutor remained focused on expeditiously completing its final trial and appeal proceedings, while simultaneously managing its downsizing process. The Office continued to reallocate staff and resources flexibly to ensure that all court-ordered deadlines are met. The Office also continued to assist officials and personnel of the Mechanism in transferring functions in accordance with the transitional arrangements.

41. In the current reporting period, the Office of the Prosecutor made its closing arguments in the Tribunal's final trial (*Mladić*) and oral arguments in the Tribunal's final appeal (*Prlić et al.*). Both cases remain on track to be completed by the end of November 2017.

B. Management

42. The Office of the Prosecutor continued its downsizing process to reduce the number of posts on the basis of the completion of relevant phases of the trial and appeal proceedings. At the end of 2016, the Office had a total of 78 staff members, following the abolition of 23 Professional and 12 General Service posts in 2016. Upon completion of major activities in the *Mladić* case and the *Prlić et al.* case, the Office abolished 13 Professional and 3 General Service posts on 28 February 2017, and it abolished 15 Professional and 2 General Service posts on 30 April 2017. In accordance with the approved budget, the Office abolished an additional 3 General Service posts on 30 June 2017, for a total of 28 Professional and 8 General Service posts abolished in the first half of 2017.

¹ This figure includes orders and decisions filed as of 31 July 2017.

43. The Office is actively supporting measures to assist staff in making the transition from their work at the Tribunal to the next step in their careers. The Office continues to support training of its staff members and to assist staff in taking advantage of the services offered by the Career Transition Office. In that regard, the Office of the Prosecutor is facilitating networking and other opportunities to assist its staff members and to ensure recognition of their considerable transferable skills, which are highly relevant to other core components of the Organization's work.

44. Resource-sharing between the Office of the Prosecutor of the Tribunal and that of the Mechanism continued during the reporting period, with the implementation of the "one office" approach to integrate the staff and resources of the two offices. Under that policy, all prosecution staff members are available to double-hat, so that they can be flexibly assigned to either Tribunal- or Mechanism-related work, depending on operational requirements and their case-related knowledge.

C. Cooperation

45. In order to fulfil its mandate, the Office of the Prosecutor relies on the full cooperation of States, as required under article 29 of the statute of the Tribunal.

46. The Office of the Prosecutor continued to have appropriate access to documents, archives and witnesses in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia and Serbia during the reporting period.

47. However, the Office deplores the fact that Serbia has returned to a practice of non-cooperation with the Tribunal in relation to the arrest and transfer of indictees. The country's failure over the past two and a half years to execute the Tribunal's arrest warrants for three Serbian indictees is in violation of its international legal obligations and its own repeated commitments to fully cooperate with the Tribunal. That Serbia may have cooperated in the past, or may be cooperating in other areas today, only serves to underscore that it can cooperate when it has the will to do so. The absence of political will to cooperate with the Tribunal further calls into question the country's commitment to justice for war crimes and its adherence to the rule of law. When previously faced with Serbia's long-standing failure to arrest and transfer indictees to the Tribunal, the policy of conditionality proved the most effective tool. It will also be crucial for Member States to maintain the principled position of insisting on the country's full cooperation with the Tribunal in their bilateral dealings.

48. Cooperation and support from States outside the former Yugoslavia and from international organizations remains integral to the successful completion of Tribunal cases. Continued assistance is needed to access documents, information and witnesses, as well as in matters related to witness protection, including witness relocation. The Office of the Prosecutor once again acknowledges the support it received during the reporting period from States Members of the United Nations and from international organizations, including the United Nations and its entities, the European Union, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe and the Council of Europe.

D. Transition from the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia to national war crimes prosecutions

49. The Office of the Prosecutor remains committed to promoting effective war crimes prosecutions in the former Yugoslavia through ongoing dialogue with counterparts and efforts to build capacity in the national justice sectors. The

effective prosecution of war crimes committed during the conflicts in the former Yugoslavia is fundamental to building and sustaining the rule of law, as well as for truth-seeking and reconciliation. With the completion of the Tribunal's mandate approaching, accountability for those crimes now depends on national prosecution offices and judiciaries.

50. During the reporting period, the Office continued to assist national judicial authorities in the former Yugoslavia to more successfully handle their war crimes cases. The joint European Union/International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia Training Project for National Prosecutors and Young Professionals from the former Yugoslavia has been a central component of the Office's efforts. The Office of the Prosecutor is pleased to report that, following a unanimous request from national prosecution services in the region, the European Union has now agreed to extend both components of the Training Project for another two-year period. The Training Project will also be transitioned from the Tribunal to the Office of the Prosecutor of the Mechanism. The Office of the Prosecutor of the Tribunal is grateful to the European Union for its consistent support for this important project and for recognizing the ongoing need to build national justice sector capacity by educating and training young lawyers from the region in Tribunal offices.

51. As the Tribunal nears the completion of its mandate, the ongoing, widespread denial of crimes and non-acceptance of facts established in its judgments should be regarded as a matter of acute concern with real consequences for reconciliation and stability in the western Balkans today. The denial of crimes and revisionism are both widespread throughout the region. Convicted war criminals are often glorified as heroes. The facts of the crimes are taught in classrooms around the world, but not in the countries where the crimes were committed. Today, such denial and such revisionism are feeding regional instability and undermining neighbourly relations. National and communal identities founded on false histories are inherently sources of regional tension and distrust. With the upcoming closure of the Tribunal, it is now more important than ever to address this challenge. To secure a peaceful future, there must be shared agreement on the recent past.

52. Judicial cooperation between the countries of the former Yugoslavia is essential to ensuring that those responsible for war crimes are held accountable. Many suspects may not be present in the territory where they are alleged to have committed the crimes and cannot be extradited to the territorial State for prosecution. The Offices of the Prosecutor of both the Tribunal and the Mechanism have repeatedly called attention to the negative trends in regional judicial cooperation in war crimes justice. Unfortunately, during the reporting period, there was little evidence of change in a more positive direction. In addition to the issues previously noted, the Office has received alarming reports indicating that judicial cooperation between Serbia and Kosovo² as regards war crimes has completely broken down. Countries in the region continue to issue and maintain unknown numbers of non-public international arrest warrants, and contentious extradition litigation in third-party States represents a failure of regional judicial cooperation. The urgent need to address those challenges has been demonstrated again during the reporting period. The status quo is increasingly unsustainable and causing real damage to diplomatic relations.

53. Overall, progress in national prosecutions of war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide committed in the former Yugoslavia is mixed, with some positive trends and some situations of significant concern. The Office of the Prosecutor is pleased to note that, in Bosnia and Herzegovina, positive trends in

² All references to Kosovo in the present document shall be understood to be in full compliance with Security Council resolution 1244 (1999).

national prosecutions continued during the reporting period. The Prosecutor's Office of Bosnia and Herzegovina continued to investigate and prosecute complex cases, as appropriate, in accordance with the country's national war crimes strategy, including cases involving senior- and mid-level suspects and cases concerning conflict-related sexual violence. Those results demonstrate again that national prosecutions, appropriately supported by international partners, can meaningfully advance accountability, including in the most complex cases. Regarding Croatia, there was some progress during the reporting period, in that its Government committed to addressing some outstanding issues in regional judicial cooperation. Separately, however, authorities in Bosnia and Herzegovina and in Serbia have identified a larger number of regional judicial cooperation issues with Croatia that must now be dealt with. Regarding Serbia, regretfully, the immediate outlook for meaningful progress in war crimes justice is negative. Positive results in war crimes investigations and prosecutions remain very limited, with no significant change in the adverse trends that have crystallized over the past few years. In Serbia, impunity for many well-established crimes remains the norm. Commitments to improve this situation remain largely unfulfilled. Decisive steps, at all levels, are needed in order to begin moving in a more positive direction.

54. The Tribunal will complete its mandate before the end of the current year, which will close an important chapter that began 24 years ago. Yet the work towards justice for the victims of war crimes in the former Yugoslavia will continue. The Mechanism will litigate the small number of trials and appeals remaining. Crucially, prosecutions of war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide must continue in national courts in the region of the former Yugoslavia. The support of the United Nations and the international community to ensure accountability for the horrific atrocities committed remains as essential as ever before.

V. Activities of the Registry

55. During the reporting period, the Registry continued to provide legal, administrative, technical and logistical support to the judicial proceedings of the Tribunal, while simultaneously focusing on preparations for further downsizing and closure of the Tribunal and the transfer of its records to the Mechanism.

56. Registry staff continued to demonstrate flexibility and readiness to accept broader responsibilities in order to offset downsizing and staff departures, while ensuring that services to the Tribunal's final two cases continued undisturbed. Further, the Registry offered the entire spectrum of administrative services necessary for the smooth functioning of the Tribunal, provided assistance to national jurisdictions and managed the Tribunal's outreach programme.

57. The Registry continued providing services and overall administrative support to the Mechanism, assisted in the gradual process of establishing the Mechanism's own self-standing administration and contributed to the strengthening of the working relationship between the two branches of the Mechanism.

A. Office of the Registrar

58. The Immediate Office of the Registrar supported the Registrar in his overall responsibility of directing the Registry, including supervising all Registry divisions and sections and representing the Tribunal in its relations with the host State and other Member States, international organizations and external stakeholders. It provided legal and policy advice, including on the Tribunal's liquidation activities.

The Office also assisted in representing the Tribunal in its relations with the various organs of the United Nations.

59. Until 31 December 2016, the Office continued to support the Registrar, working hand-in-hand with Mechanism staff on managing the operations of the Mechanism Registry, both in Arusha and at The Hague, with a special focus on supporting judicial proceedings before the Mechanism, finalizing its policies and operating procedures and assisting in recruitment exercises.

B. Division of Judicial Support Services

60. The Division of Judicial Support Services consists of the Court Support Services Section, the Judicial Records Unit, the United Nations Detention Unit and the Conference and Language Services Section. The Court Support Services Section has four units: the Witness Support and Operations Unit and the Witness Protection Unit (collectively referred to as the Victims and Witnesses Section), the Courtroom Operations Unit and the Office for Legal Aid and Defence.

61. During the reporting period, the Registry supported one case in trial and one on appeal, involving a total of seven accused persons, and filed approximately 108 legal submissions relevant to the Tribunal's ongoing or concluded cases. The Registry also supported one contempt case at the pre-trial stage involving, three accused persons.

1. Court Support Services Section and Judicial Records Unit

62. During the reporting period, the Victims and Witnesses Section provided assistance prior to, during and after testimony to the last witness in the *Mladić* case, who testified partly at The Hague and partly by videoconference link. The Section also took active steps to address the security-related concerns of witnesses, including in cases that have resulted in relocation. Finally, it continued to work closely with the Mechanism to finalize policies and procedures across the two branches of the Mechanism.

63. The Courtroom Operations Unit supported one trial and one appeal over the reporting period. It also assisted one self-represented accused person through its *Pro Se* Office.

64. As of 31 July 2017, the Judicial Records Unit had processed 548 filings (22,379 pages) and 60 transcripts in English and French (5,141 pages). The Unit also facilitated the sharing and transfer of judicial records between the Tribunal and the Mechanism.

65. The Office for Legal Aid and Defence continued to administer the Tribunal's legal aid system, overseeing more than 50 defence team members. All seven of the individuals in trial and appeal proceedings during the reporting period were found partially unable to remunerate counsel and were thus granted legal aid. All cases except one were ranked at the highest complexity level. The Office also administered the appointment and remuneration of amici curiae and provided assistance on legal, policy and operational matters relating to the management of the Mechanism's legal aid system.

2. United Nations Detention Unit

66. The United Nations Detention Unit continued to support both the Tribunal and the Mechanism and was responsible for detaining six individuals under the Tribunal's authority in conditions exceeding the relevant international standards. It facilitated the presence of the detainees at court hearings, implemented one

provisional release decision and facilitated medical examinations by court-appointed medical experts. Detainees were provided with in-house medical care and specialist medical assistance. In addition, the Unit continued to work with the International Committee of the Red Cross to meet the specific needs of an ageing detainee population.

3. Conference and Language Services Section

67. The Conference and Language Services Section provided interpretation, translation and court reporting services for the Tribunal, resulting in approximately 10,200 pages of translation and 173 conference interpreter days over the year. Notably, the Section provided support for the final phase of the last Tribunal trial, the *Mladić* case, and continued to provide support to the Mechanism under the double-hatting arrangement. Careful scrutiny of translation requests to avoid duplication resulted in savings of approximately \$56,000 over the reporting period.

C. Transfer of records

68. The Records and Archives Working Group established by the Registrar during the previous reporting period continued to monitor progress in the destruction of Tribunal records or their transfer to the Mechanism. The Mechanism Archives and Records Section continued to support the Tribunal offices in that regard. As at the time of reporting, the Tribunal had destroyed or transferred 71.8 per cent of the estimated total volume of its physical records and approximately 88 per cent of its digital records.

D. Communications Service

69. During the reporting period, the Communications Service continued to provide communications, press and social media support in respect of the Tribunal's judicial and other activities and to manage the Tribunal's outreach programme.

70. The Service coordinated educational visits to the Tribunal and the Mechanism for more than 300 groups, welcoming over 6,500 visitors. The Tribunal's website recorded more than 2.4 million page views. The website is being restructured and redesigned, and its content revised, to facilitate its evolution into the Tribunal's legacy website.

71. The Service continued to work with local authorities and international partners to establish information centres in the former Yugoslavia in accordance with Security Council resolution [1966 \(2010\)](#). The agreement with the authorities of Sarajevo was finalized, while the agreement with the Srebrenica-Potočari Memorial Centre is pending approval by authorities in Bosnia and Herzegovina. The Tribunal is cooperating with the authorities in Croatia to establish an information centre within the national library in Zagreb and hopes that Serbia will be amenable to establishing a centre in Belgrade.

72. In the Tribunal's final year, the outreach programme extended its efforts to inform people in the former Yugoslavia about the Tribunal. The Tribunal's field offices in Serbia and in Bosnia and Herzegovina organized more than 20 events, reaching over 1,200 individuals. The seventh in the series of the outreach programme's documentaries about the work of the Tribunal, entitled "Never justified: ICTY and the crime of torture", was completed and screened in Bosnia and Herzegovina. A short video feature, entitled "End to impunity", was produced, summarizing the 24 years of work and achievements of the Tribunal. Ten television

stations in the former Yugoslavia and United Nations Television have agreed to broadcast the latest documentary produced by the outreach programme. In addition, the programme launched and distributed the publication, entitled “15 Years of Outreach at the ICTY”.

73. The European Union generously provided the funds necessary to support the continuation of the Tribunal’s outreach programme until the Tribunal’s closure. Together with Austria, Finland, Germany, Italy, Malta, the Netherlands and Switzerland, the European Union has also provided generous support for the ongoing Legacy Dialogues series of events.

E. Administration Division

74. By its resolution [71/268](#), the General Assembly approved the Tribunal’s proposed programme budget and appropriated a revised amount of \$98,064,000 gross (\$86,917,900 net) for the biennium 2016-2017. The amount represents a 52 per cent decrease as compared to the revised appropriation for the biennium 2014-2015.

75. During the biennium 2016-2017, extrabudgetary resources are estimated at \$1,000,000, to be used for a variety of Tribunal activities. During the reporting period, the Tribunal administered several extrabudgetary initiatives, including outreach activities in the former Yugoslavia and training programmes for young prosecutors.

76. Under the direction of the Registrar, the Administration Division remains on track with the implementation of the Tribunal’s administrative liquidation plan and has taken on board lessons learned from the liquidation exercise of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, with a view towards optimizing the current process. Most liquidation activities will be completed by the end of the year. A small number of residual tasks will be addressed by the Mechanism. All assets that will be required by the Mechanism have already been identified and transferred; any remaining assets will continue to be disposed of, monitored by the Assets Disposal Working Group of the Liquidation Taskforce. All staff-related financial liabilities are being identified and will be reported in the second performance report, to be issued in the second half of 2017.

77. As part of the Tribunal’s completion strategy, the Administration Division remained actively engaged in overseeing and managing the Tribunal’s downsizing in accordance with the Tribunal’s well-established downsizing and comparative review process, which had been negotiated and agreed to by staff and management. The Tribunal is on track to eliminate all Tribunal posts by 31 December 2017. The Office of Internal Oversight Services has noted that the comparative review and downsizing process implemented at the Tribunal represented “best practice in leadership of a change process”.

78. The Tribunal’s Career Transition Office continued to support staff in all aspects of career transition by offering training courses, organizing workshops and providing advice to individual staff.

79. Finally, the Division provided overall administrative support and services to the Mechanism in the areas of human resources, general services, procurement, finance, information technology and security during the reporting period. In anticipation of the Tribunal’s closure at the end of 2017, it continued to support the Mechanism in the transition to a lean, self-standing administration.

VI. Conclusion

80. The Tribunal is only five months away from closure. While it made significant progress towards the completion of its mandate during its final reporting period and is still on track to close on 31 December 2017, considerable efforts remain to be made before all work is successfully concluded. Indeed, the Tribunal's final chapter will undoubtedly be one of the busiest and most challenging periods in its history. The main focuses will be threefold: (a) the Tribunal's core judicial work, being the pending trial and appeal proceedings, must be completed by 30 November 2017, when the mandate of the judges will end; (b) at the same time, the Tribunal will continue to further ramp up its liquidation efforts, including through the implementation of its final downsizing exercises, the disposal or transfer of all its remaining records and assets and the transfer of its remaining functions to the Mechanism; and (c) the Tribunal will continue to take advantage of precious final opportunities to consolidate its legacy through the remaining events in the Legacy Dialogues calendar.

81. The imminent closure of the Tribunal heralds a significant moment in time, not only for the Tribunal itself, but also for the Security Council, which created the Tribunal, and the General Assembly, which has provided funding for almost a quarter of a century and elected the Tribunal's esteemed judges. The Tribunal wishes to sincerely thank the members of both the Security Council and the General Assembly, together with the United Nations more broadly, for their support throughout the final reporting period and, indeed, during all previous reporting periods. It is also most grateful to the Office of Legal Affairs for the sterling quality of the assistance it has provided to the Tribunal over the past 24 years. In addition, it acknowledges the valuable support rendered by Uruguay, as Chair of the Informal Working Group on International Tribunals, during the two years leading up to the Tribunal's closure. The Tribunal takes the opportunity to ask all Member States, one final time, for their continued support during its closing months, in order to ensure that it can successfully conclude its mandate.

82. Finally, the Tribunal wishes to express its heartfelt thanks to the more than 7,000 staff members, 87 judges, 5 prosecutors and 4 registrars who have served the Tribunal over the past 24 years. It is their exceptional work and dedication that made the Tribunal's myriad achievements possible and ensured that the Tribunal will be able to successfully complete its mandate, beyond all expectations.

Annex

Outcome document of the Legacy Dialogues Conference of the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia

Conclusions and recommendations*

The Conference of the *ICTY Legacy Dialogues* held from 22 to 24 June 2017 in Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Recalling the special mandate of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY or Tribunal) to bring to justice those most responsible for serious violations of international humanitarian law committed in the former Yugoslavia since 1991 and thus contribute to the restoration and maintenance of peace in the region;

Given the pioneering role of the ICTY in international law, and the desire to reflect on its 24-plus years of experience with a view to helping others to build on its achievements;

Recognising that, as the first international criminal tribunal created by the United Nations, the ICTY has an important role in sharing its lessons learned with the international community, the region of the former Yugoslavia, as well as with current and future international courts and tribunals;

Recognising that the ICTY has served as a cornerstone in the fight against impunity for crimes committed in the former Yugoslavia and beyond, transforming the landscape of international humanitarian law and contributing to the development of international criminal law;

Having considered the conclusions and recommendations of the various expert panels on ICTY legacies discussed at the final ICTY Legacy Conference, and suggestions made by members of the audience;

In gratitude to all the participants, experts, and donors of the Legacy Conference;

Presents the following conclusions and recommendations in order to assist national and regional courts, as well as present and future international courts and tribunals in the pursuit of justice, and to assist the legacy projects of the ICTY:

Conclusions: normative legacy

1. The ICTY's normative legacy will continue beyond its closure to inform the work of national, regional, and international jurisdictions.
2. The ICTY has made a rich contribution to the development of international humanitarian law, in particular with respect to non-international armed conflict.
3. The ICTY has filled legal gaps regarding definitions of international crimes and has significantly influenced the drafting of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.
4. The ICTY's jurisprudence has served as an important resource for national, regional, and international jurisdictions, as well as for other accountability mechanisms.

* The text of the annex has been reproduced as received, without formal editing.

5. The ICTY has contributed to the establishment of specialised war crimes Chambers in the region, along with inspiring the development of their jurisprudence and procedures.
6. The ICTY's jurisprudence has been used in creative ways by national courts, including beyond the criminal field.

Recommendations: normative legacy

1. Other courts, national, regional, and international, should consider the judgements and decisions of the ICTY as an important source of inspiration and valuable repository of international law.
2. ICTY judgements should, where possible, be integrated into the jurisprudence of national jurisdictions in the former Yugoslavia.
3. The lessons of the ICTY are relevant in terms of realistic expectations of what an international court or tribunal can achieve, and therefore expectations should be managed from the outset to avoid disappointing victims and affected communities, and the wider international community.
4. The ICTY manual on developed "best practices" should be updated for use by other national, regional, and international courts and tribunals.
5. Consideration should be given to making use of ICTY jurisprudence beyond the field of international criminal law.

Conclusions: gender justice

1. The ICTY has shown that it is possible and necessary to undertake the prosecution of sexual and gender-based violence.
2. The ICTY's experience shows there are numerous misconceptions in the way criminal justice actors perceive sexual violence crimes.
3. Gender bias often results in a disproportionately low number of female witnesses.

Recommendations: gender justice

1. Gender perspectives should be integrated into substantive, procedural, and institutional aspects of international criminal law.
2. The understanding of gender should be expanded beyond the issue of sexual violence at the national, regional and international levels, including by looking at other crimes through a gender lens.
3. National, regional, and international institutions dealing with war crimes should consider appointing a focal point for women to assist on gender issues arising in the work place.
4. National, regional, and international courts and tribunals should ensure equal representation of males and females at all levels, including among judges and in senior management positions.
5. War crimes courts should develop a comprehensive institutional framework to provide greater accountability for gender-based crimes that links prosecutions to affected countries.

6. Guidance documents need to be adopted by judicial institutions in order to ensure a standardised approach by judicial actors towards victims within different institutions and professions.
7. Future courts and tribunals should grant women equal access to justice in national jurisdictions, including access to compensation.
8. A comprehensive training program for all relevant actors in war crimes proceedings should be implemented in relation to conflict-related sexual violence crimes and address the stereotypes and misconceptions that can thwart accountability efforts.

Conclusions: non-judicial legacy

1. There is no universally accepted definition of ‘reconciliation’.
2. Empirical research suggests that tribunals are often trusted locally if they confirm narratives that are shared by the affected group.
3. Memorialisation of sites of mass atrocities can be seen as a way of bringing the ICTY closer to local communities and acknowledging the suffering of the victims.
4. Under very specific circumstances, it is possible for international courts and tribunals to deter violence against civilians.
5. The creation and work of the ICTY inspired the international concept of transitional justice.

Recommendations: non-judicial legacy

1. Future international courts and tribunals should support efforts dedicated to strengthen local civil society initiatives on accountability and reconciliation.
2. Future international courts and tribunals should commit early on to outreach and media initiatives, which promote memorialisation in the effort to combat denial.
3. Encourage civil society’s continued commitment to remind communities that an historical record exists in order to never forget and to acknowledge the pain and suffering of victims.
4. Societies should not solely rely on factual truths, but also explore common understandings or values (meta normative truths) as a way to break down barriers to reconciliation.

Conclusions: operational legacy

1. It is essential to make information and evidence collected for international war crimes proceedings available in corresponding national proceedings.
2. The work of a commission of inquiry preceding the establishment of an international court or tribunal can provide an important starting point for shaping an investigation and prosecution strategy.
3. War crimes prosecution offices will invariably have to prioritise which crimes to prosecute, taking into account factors such as the gravity of the crimes, the main features of criminality during conflict, crimes committed against males and females, and which perpetrators bear the greatest responsibility.

4. War crimes prosecution offices will need to think creatively about strategies to overcome operational difficulties and be prepared to propose novel procedures to facilitate their work.
5. A prosecution office will need to understand the political environment in which it works, while ensuring that its work is not affected by political considerations.
6. Peer-to-peer models for national capacity building are preferable to traditional training methods. In particular, models that allow for sustained and practical follow-up and problem solving on specific issues are the most effective.
7. Having technology in place to ensure a well-structured and easily searchable database for a war crimes evidence collection is essential from the moment of the establishment of prosecution offices.

Recommendations: operational legacy

1. Significant attention should be paid to ensuring accurate and comprehensive metadata for evidentiary items to facilitate the searching and tracking process.
2. Prosecution offices should establish a special team to facilitate the work of fugitive tracking, including through the development of sources, collation of intelligence information, and by creating task-forces of relevant agencies.
3. The prosecution should continuously re-analyse its evidence, particularly as new material is collected that may shed new light on crime base, linkage and objectives in leadership cases.
4. The international community should consider strategies for facilitating the collection and preservation of DNA evidence from conflict crime scenes, even when no jurisdiction is yet available to prosecute the commission of these crimes.
5. Capacity-building initiatives should be preceded by a comprehensive needs assessment.
6. National, regional, and international courts and tribunals should consider developing strategies to facilitate capacity building for war crimes accountability from the moment of their establishment.
7. Strategies should be put in place to ensure access by other relevant criminal justice actors, to information or evidence through electronic databases, while protecting the confidentiality and security of such data. Training for those criminal justice actors to effectively search databases should also be available.

Conclusions: defence and fair trials legacy

1. Access to defence is a key indicator of fair trial rights as basic human rights.
2. The convergence of common law and civil law systems has posed challenges for disclosure practices.
3. Each accused person should be entitled to qualified defence counsel with expertise in international humanitarian law and international criminal law.

4. Training initiatives for defence counsel are fundamental to achieving fair trial standards
5. The ICTY's jurisprudence is a valuable tool for the development of national legislation in the area of international criminal law.
6. The ICTY's experience has assisted national jurisdictions in enhancing the ability of States to exercise universal jurisdiction.

Recommendations: defence and fair trials legacy

1. All international courts and tribunals should consider establishing associations of defence counsel to represent the interests of defence counsel and the rights of the accused and to ensure that professional standards are harmonised.
2. Consideration should be given to how the ICTY's disclosure systems could best serve other international courts and tribunals, as well as national jurisdictions.
3. Regular training on the jurisprudence and practice of international courts and tribunals should be available to lawyers working on war crimes cases in national jurisdictions.
4. The ICTY's developed practices could assist national jurisdictions where war crimes cases are conducted on the basis of universal jurisdiction, including through cooperation.
5. Information regarding the ICTY's jurisprudence and practices should be disseminated to national defence associations and offices where war crimes cases are conducted, to ensure equal access to such information. The ICTY should also provide national defence associations and offices with access to its databases.

Conclusions: the participatory legacy

1. Witness support is an important and integral part of court structures.
2. Witness needs are diverse and complex, and can last long after testimony has been given.
3. Seeking input from witnesses on their needs is critical to providing appropriate support.
4. Other actors, whether formal or informal, such as community support groups and NGOs, can form effective witness support networks.

Recommendations: the participatory legacy

1. Present and future international courts and tribunals should consider following the ICTY's witness-centred approach.
2. State authorities need to take responsibility for witness support, including by providing resources.
3. Prosecution offices should take proactive steps to locate and encourage female witnesses to testify in war crimes proceedings.
4. National, regional, and international courts and tribunals should consider a proactive approach towards witnesses, informing them of the types of support available.

5. National, regional, and international courts and tribunals should ensure continuing support to witnesses beyond their testimony.

Conclusions: historical legacy

1. Preservation of documents is a key foundation of international criminal courts. A living archive is critical for the success of legacy strategies.
2. Leaving an historical record is not necessarily a primary purpose of international criminal courts and tribunals, although a record may be established as a result of court proceedings.
3. The historical value of court records extends beyond judgements. These records must be read in context and a fuller picture may emerge through complementarity of courts and other justice mechanisms.
4. The value of evidence for purposes of historical clarification differs among types of evidence. In order to obtain a comprehensive account, it is necessary to compare findings and testimony across cases.

Recommendations: historical legacy

1. The ICTY should ensure that a permanent record of the crimes committed during the Yugoslav wars is available to the public in the region, in all local languages.
2. The ICTY's record of crimes should include, *inter alia*, judgements, decisions, transcripts of witness testimony, video recordings of court hearings, and exhibits.
3. The ICTY should have in place a long-term strategy to grant public access to archive material.

Conclusions: outreach legacy

1. The ICTY Outreach Programme has played a key role in building the capacity of national institutions dealing with war crimes in the former Yugoslavia.
2. The ICTY Outreach Programme has ensured access to information about the work of the Tribunal through its wide range of activities and its website.
3. The ICTY Outreach Programme's repository of legacy material about the work of the Tribunal will continue to be used in future public discourse on legacy.
4. Outreach programmes are a vital part of any judicial institution dealing with war crimes regardless of challenges in implementing their mandate.
5. The work of civil society, such as non-governmental organisations, victims' groups, and academia is fundamental to ensure that the legacy of the ICTY continues beyond the Tribunal's closure and has an impact on transitional justice processes.
6. Exchange and communication with key target groups, such as victims, politicians, journalists, civil society organisations, and academia is essential for outreach programmes to have an impact.

Recommendations: outreach legacy

1. Strong field presence of outreach programmes in the affected regions is crucial to enable them to communicate the work of judicial institutions to key audiences.
 2. Outreach programmes should be built as two-way communication tools which listen and react to the needs of the affected communities.
 3. Outreach programmes should be at the outset a core and appropriately funded function of national, regional, and international courts and tribunals dealing with war crimes.
 4. Information about the work and legacy of the ICTY should continue to be accessible in the official and all local languages of the former Yugoslavia through the establishment of the information centres.
 5. One of the key target groups of the ICTY's legacy should be youth.
-